

# The Republic of Sudan



---

REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE DEADLINE  
FOR COMPLETING THE DESTRUCTION OF ANTI-  
PERSONNEL MINES IN MINED AREAS IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 5 PARAGRAPH 1 OF  
THE CONVENTION OF THE PROHIBITION OF THE  
USE, STOCKPILING, PRODUCTION AND TRANSFER  
OF ANTI- PERSONNEL MINES AND ON THEIR  
DESTRUCTION.

---

**An Extension Request for Four Years  
(April 2019 to April 2023)**

**Submission Date  
March 2018**

**CONTACT INFORMATION:**

Organization: National Mine Action Center – Sudan  
Director: Col. Amir Abdelsadiq  
E-mail: [aamira@su-mac.org](mailto:aamira@su-mac.org)  
Telephone: +249116299944 / +249912969105

Organization: National Mine Action Center – Sudan  
Director: Mohammed Abdelmajeed  
E-mail: [mohamedmajeed@su-mac.org](mailto:mohamedmajeed@su-mac.org)  
Telephone: +249123989380

Organization: United Nations Mine Action Service – Sudan  
Director: Habibulhaq Javed  
E-mail: [habibulhaqi@unops.org](mailto:habibulhaqi@unops.org)  
Telephone: +249990099030

# Table of Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
<b>1.1</b> Introduction .....	1
<b>1.2</b> Origin of Sudan’s Article 5 Implementation Challenge .....	1
<b>1.3</b> Remaining challenge at the beginning of previous request.....	2
<b>1.4</b> Nature and extent of progress .....	4
<b>1.5</b> Resources Made Available to Support Progress .....	6
<b>1.6</b> Circumstances that Impeded Compliance: .....	7
<b>1.7</b> Humanitarian, economic, social and environmental implications of the remaining challenge:....	7
<b>1.8</b> Sudan’s Remaining Article 5 Challenge .....	8
<b>1.9</b> Requested Period of Extension: Rationale: .....	11
<b>1.10</b> Summary Work plan (2018-2023) .....	11
<b>1.11</b> Current Capacities, remaining challenge and gaps .....	12
<b>1.12</b> Financial Resources (National and International).....	13
<b>1.13</b> Assumptions / Risks.....	14
<b>1.14</b> Introduction .....	16
<b>1.15</b> Origin of Sudan’s Article 5 challenge .....	16
<b>1.16</b> Nature and Extent of the Article 5 Challenge at the previous extension request .....	18
<b>1.17</b> Nature and Extent of Progress made: Quantitative Aspects .....	18
<b>1.18</b> Nature and Extent of Progress Made: Qualitative Aspects.....	24
1.18.1 National Demining Structure.....	24
<b>1.19</b> Sudan Mine Action Strategy .....	26
<b>1.20</b> National Capacity Building Achievements: .....	26
<b>1.21</b> Resources made available to support progress .....	33
<b>1.22</b> The Government’s Annual Fund to National Mine Action Program .....	34
<b>1.23</b> Other Resource Mobilization (External Fund): .....	34
<b>1.24</b> Methods used to identify areas containing/suspected to contain AP mines .....	34
<b>1.25</b> Methods and standards used to release known/ suspected mine areas .....	35
<b>1.26</b> Methodology .....	36
<b>1.27</b> Asset Deployment Decision Making Tool .....	36
<b>1.28</b> Land Release Process .....	36
<b>1.29</b> Information gathering .....	38
<b>1.30</b> Methods and standards of controlling and assuring quality .....	39
<b>1.31</b> Efforts to ensure the effective inclusion of civilians from mined areas .....	40
<b>1.32</b> Marking and Fencing.....	40
<b>1.33</b> Mine Risk Education (MRE) .....	40
<b>1.34</b> Circumstances that impeded compliance during the extension period.....	41
<b>1.35</b> Humanitarian, economic, social and environmental implications .....	42
<b>1.36</b> Nature and extent of remaining challenge: quantitative aspects .....	45

<b>1.37</b>	Nature and extent of remaining article 5 challenge: qualitative aspects.....	46
<b>1.38</b>	Amount of time requested and a rationale for this amount of time .....	46
<b>1.39</b>	Detailed work plan for the period of the requested extension .....	47
<b>1.40</b>	Goals of the MYWP 2017-2019 & 2019-2023.....	47
<b>1.41</b>	Demining Capacity: Operational distribution .....	48
<b>1.42</b>	Work Plan for the Extension Period (2019-2023).....	49
<b>1.43</b>	Operation plan by State: .....	50
<b>1.44</b>	Resource Mobilization Strategy .....	57
<b>1.45</b>	Public Relations and Communication.....	58
<b>1.46</b>	Sudan Mine Action multi-year budget forecast.....	58
<b>1.47</b>	Assumptions / Risks.....	59
	Figure 1: Sudan geopolitical map within the African continent.....	v
	Figure 2: Sudan Mine/ERW Contaminated Areas.....	10
	Figure 3: National Mine Action Structure.....	25
	Figure 4: Percentage of people who received MRE disaggregated by sex and gender .....	28
	Figure 5: No of people received MRE by State .....	28
	Figure 6: Socio-Economic blockage by type.....	44
	Figure 7: Remaining hazards, Blue Nile, Source: LIS Data .....	51
	Figure 8: Remaining hazards, South Kordofan, Source: LIS DATA.....	53
	Figure 9: Remaining hazards, West Kordofan State, Source: LIS Data .....	54
	Table 1: Level of anti-personnel mine contamination, 2013.....	2
	Table 2: Level of anti-tank mine contamination, 2013.....	3
	Table 3: Level ERW Contamination, 2013.....	3
	Table 4: Remaining Contamination, (All types) 2013.....	4
	Table 5: New hazard Registered by state 1 January 2013-February 2018.....	5
	Table 6: Areas released and devices destroyed by State, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018 .....	5
	Table 7: Areas released and APM / ATM devices destroyed by Year, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018.	6
	Table 8: Funding forecast to the end of the current extension period, (2014-2018).....	6
	Table 9: Remaining level of contamination: Anti-Personnel Mines, 28 February 2018.....	8
	Table 10: Remaining level of contamination: Anti-Tank Mines, 28 February 2018 .....	8
	Table 11: Remaining level of contamination: ERW, 28 February 2018.....	9
	Table 12: Contamination by State, All Contamination types 28 February 2018.....	9

Table 13: Annual clearance plan for the extension period (2018-2023).....	11
Table 14: Land release milestones by year, (2019-2023) .....	12
Table 15: Operator deployment by location and year .....	13
Table 16: Annual funding obtained to support mine action activities, (USD).....	13
Table 17: Anti-personnel mine contamination, January 2013.....	18
Table 18: Land release progress by State, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018 .....	19
Table 19: Areas released, APM/ATM devices destroyed by year, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018.....	19
Table 20: Clearance statistics of completed States, May 2017 .....	21
Table 21: Landmine victims disaggregated by gender and age.....	29
Table 22: Registered hazards from 2002 - 28 February 2018.....	30
Table 23: Registered hazards from 2002 - 28 February 2018.....	32
Table 24: Summary of data clean up .....	33
Table 25: Annual funding obtained to support mine action activities (USD).....	34
Table 26: Socio-economic blockages caused by anti-personnel mines.....	44
Table 27: AP Contamination.....	45
Table 28: AT Contamination.....	45
Table 29: ERW remaining Level of Contamination, 28 February 2018 .....	45
Table 30: Annual clearance during the extension period (2018-2023) .....	47
Table 31: Operator deployment by location and year .....	48
Table 32: Land release milestones by year.....	49
Table 33: WORK PLAN BLUE NILE, BY LOCALITY AND YEAR.....	52
Table 34: Work Plan South Kordofan, by locality and year .....	54
Table 35: Work Plan West Kordofan, by locality and year .....	55
Table 36: Sudan Funding Requirements For Completion by Year .....	58
Annex 1: Abbreviations .....	61
Annex 2: Glossary of terms .....	62



Figure 1: Sudan geopolitical map within the African continent

# 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

## 1.1 Introduction

The Republic of Sudan has been plagued by a devastating civil war continued for nearly sixty two years a matter that engendered seismic consequences exhibited clearly in the chronic political, economic, social and security crises that have contributed to the destabilization of the country. For the last a half century, Sudan's geopolitical image has been termed with turbulent decades of internal turmoil and protracted domestic contest. The geographical context of Sudan characterized by spacious terrain coupled with a centralized system of governance that has in fact worked to undermine the influence of the centre on the remote peripheries. A situation that has been exacerbated by a lack of communication and transportation infrastructure. The countries demographic setting, with considerable diversity, has essentially attributed to a wide-range of ethnic and dialect heterogeneity that has led to cultural disparities among its people.

The Government of Sudan (GoS) signed the Mine Ban Treaty of Ottawa on 4 December 1997 and ratified it on 13 October 2003. On 23 March 2013 Sudan submitted a request to extend its Article 5 mine action deadline to 1 April 2019. This was unanimously agreed by the Thirteenth Meeting of States Parties, (13MSP).

During the past term of the existing extension period much interest and sustained support has been awarded to Sudan's humanitarian mine action program by the State. Further support has been provided by UNMAS. NMAC, in close collaboration with these actors has analysed the magnitude of the landmine problem with full consideration to the multiple challenges that have beset it. As a result it is the conclusion that Sudan, by all measures will not be able to complete the clearance of all registered contaminated areas within the frame time of the ongoing extension period. In this case Sudan will require an extended period of four years, (1 April 2019 - 1 April 2023) in order to accomplish the job and adequately conform to the stipulations stated in the Convention.



PHOTO 1: Shalalob minefield in Eastern Sudan

## 1.2 Origin of Sudan's Article 5 Implementation Challenge

The inevitable result of the prolonged series of conflicts jolted Sudan since 1955 was the wide-scale contamination of anti-personnel (AP), anti-tank (AT) mines and other Explosive Remnants of War (ERW). In this context, it worthwhile to recall that during the course of the conflicts, landmines were extensively and disproportionately used by all parties to the conflicts. The first civil war took place in period 1955 to 1972 and the second civil war began in 1983 and officially ended on 9 January 2005 with the signing of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA). During these conflicts inestimable quantities of mines were

laid. However, an exceptional result in the clearance of mines/ERW was achieved as a result of national and international tireless efforts aimed to eradicate mines/ERW in the period from 2005 up to 2011.

Regrettably, in the aftermath of Southern Sudan disintegration in July 2011, the awakening conflict in South and West Kordofan and Blue Nile States eventuated in additional contamination of anti-personnel and other ERW. Unluckily, landmines are once again being utilized in Sudan since the violence reiterated in May/June 2011 in Abyei and then after in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states. SPLA planted landmines throughout the region, which not only bring about death and casualties to civilians but also prevents humanitarian organizations from providing aid. Landmines and explosive ordnances have contaminated more than a third of the state capital of Kadugli town and its vicinity. The risk of landmines has also fettered commercial companies from shipping food and other basic supplies into South Kordofan. The use of landmines has furthered prevented from accessing many of the villages most critically affected by fighting since reportedly the main roads have been mined.

### 1.3 Remaining challenge at the beginning of previous request

The contaminated areas with mines and ERW at the start of this extension period, 2013, were mainly located in the Eastern States of Kassala, Gadaref and Red Sea and in Blue Nile and South Kordofan, West Kordofan (Abyei), and Darfur region, noting that the later locations, being ERW contamination do not fall under Ottawa Treaty.

TABLE 1: Level of anti-personnel mine contamination, 2013

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-personnel mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	6	272,456	5	905,583	11	1,178,039
S. Kordofan	48	2,183,800	36	15,615,710	84	17,799,510
Kassala	4	481,008	19	5,240,753	23	5,721,761
Red Sea	0	0	1	7,200	1	7,200
Gadaref	0	0	1	10,000	1	10,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>2,937,264</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>21,779,246</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>24,716,510</b>

**Note:** This table reflects total anti-personnel mine contamination during the submission of the first extension request.



TABLE 2: Level of anti-tank mine contamination, 2013

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-Tank mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	5	99	3	106,000	8	106,099
S. Kordofan	3	3,303,295	22	1,584,953	25	4,888,248
Kassala	11	155,839	4	1,165,000	15	1,320,839
Red Sea	1	7	1	11,200	2	11,207
Gadaref	0	0	3	540,000	3	540,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3,459,240</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3,407,153</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>6,866,393</b>

TABLE 3: LEVEL ERW CONTAMINATION, 2013

State	Number of areas known to contain UXO	Amount of area known to contain UXO (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain UXO (square metres)
Blue Nile	26	53,636	0	0	26	53,636
South Kordofan	15	14	4	159,338	19	159,352
Kassala	8	185,319	3	1,455,500	11	1,640,819
Red Sea	3	3	3	2,472,000	6	2,472,003
Gadaref	1	1	0	0	1	1
Central Darfur	3	17,001	0	0	3	17,001
Eastern Darfur	8	1,906,149	0	0	8	1,906,149
Northern Darfur	22	172,404	0	0	22	172,404
Southern Darfur	1	1	0	0	1	1
Western Darfur	9	5	0	0	9	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>2,334,533</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4,086,838</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>6,421,371</b>

Table 4: Remaining Contamination, (All types) 2013

State	Number of areas known to contain explosive ordnance	Amount of area known to contain explosive ordnance	Number of areas suspected to contain explosive ordnance	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain explosive ordnance	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain explosive ordnance	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain explosive ordnance (square metres)
Blue Nile	37	326,191	8	1,011,583	45	1,337,774
South Kordofan	66	5,487,109	62	17,360,001	128	22,847,110
Kassala	23	822'166	26	7,861,253	49	8,683,419
Red Sea	4	10	5	2,490,400	9	2,490,410
Gadaref	1	1	4	550,000	5	550,001
Darfur	43	2,095,560	0	0	43	2,095,560
<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>8,731,037</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>29,273,237</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>38,004,274</b>



Photo 2: A local lady pointing to the suspected mined area of Lufo Gharib, South Kordofan

#### 1.4 Nature and extent of progress

In spite of the formidable challenges represented in the flagrant deficit of fund allocated for Sudan mine action program in the 2<sup>nd</sup> period of extension and the continuation of war in Blue Nile and South Kordofan, the Sudan mine action programme has succeeded in reducing the total number of 2,999 hazard areas, out of overall total of 3,223 hazard areas registered in the database by 93%. Since the beginning of the programme in 2002, more than 10,158 anti-personnel mines have been destroyed, and an area of 106,336,854 square metres has been cleared.

##### **Completion of Article 5 Challenge in Gadaref, Red Sea and Kassala States**

**Clearance of Gadaref and Red Sea states has been completed** as one of the most prominent achievements of the current extension period and accordingly both States have been declared free from mines and ERW. **Kassala State was declared mine free from known and registered mines and explosive remnant of war in April 2018.** Figures disaggregated by cancellation through non-technical survey (NTS), reduction through technical survey (TS), and release through clearance.

TABLE 5: NEW HAZARD REGISTERED BY STATE, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018

State	Number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)	Number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)	Number of areas known or suspected to contain UXOs	Amount of area known or suspected to contain UXOs (square metres)	Total Number of area Registered	Total Area of area Registered (square metres)
Blue Nile	1	4,712	3	79	80	3,457,675	84	3,462,466
South Kordofan	4	551,515	2	87,124	59	157,846	65	796,485
Kassala	59	1,032,997	25	70,189	164	404,538	248	1,507,724
Gadaref	7	192,873	1	0	5	7,812	13	200,685
Red Sea	1	20,569	2	50,158	7	0	10	70,727
Central Darfur	0	0	0	0	84	113,116	84	113,116
Eastern Darfur	0	0	0	0	64	5	64	5
Northern Darfur	0	0	0	0	145	240,411	145	240,411
Southern Darfur	0	0	0	0	71	367	71	367
Western Darfur	0	0	0	0	217	1,617,989	217	1,617,989
<b>Total</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1,802,666</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>207,550</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>5,999,759</b>	<b>1,001</b>	<b>8,009,975</b>

**Note:** This table reflects total registered all contamination during the first extension's period up to submission of the second extension request. New area registered of about 3,462,466 in Blue Nile State and 796,485 in South Kordofan State adds up to already remaining contamination in these States.

TABLE 6: Areas released and devices destroyed by State, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018

State	Cancelled area NTS (square metres)	Reduced area TS (square metres)	Cleared area (square metres)	Total area released (square metres)	Number of anti-personnel mines destroyed	Number of other explosive items destroyed	Number of areas released
Blue Nile	0	0	100,989	100,989	0	53,088	106
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100,989</b>	<b>100,989</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53,088</b>	<b>106</b>
Kassala	8,555,382	3,385,363	4,739,438	16,680,183	1,391	8,398	300
Gadaref	250,000	182,633	344,499	777,132	128	30,356	18
Red Sea	1,382,246	1,116,013	208,202	2,706,461	0	111	19
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>10,187,628</b>	<b>4,684,009</b>	<b>5,292,139</b>	<b>20,163,776</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>38,865</b>	<b>337</b>
Kordofan							
S. Kordofan	73,813	20,000	47,354	141,167	0	1,086	61
Western Kordofan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>73,813</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>47,354</b>	<b>141,167</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,086</b>	<b>61</b>
Darfur							
Central Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	61,375	81
Eastern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	1,677	55
Northern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	271,776	147
Southern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	32,997	67
Western Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	63,934	206
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>431,759</b>	<b>556</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,261,441</b>	<b>4,704,009</b>	<b>5,440,482</b>	<b>20,405,932</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>524,798</b>	<b>1,060</b>

**Note:** This table reflects total area released and devices destroyed by states during in the first extension's period. Operations were focused to declare Eastern States in period where about 20,163,776 sqm have been released. Due to security situation in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States only 141,167 sqm and 100,989 sqm respectively have been released.

TABLE 7: Areas released and APM / ATM devices destroyed by Year, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018

Year	Cancelled area NTS (square metres)	Reduced area TS (square metres)	Cleared area (square metres)	Total area released (square metres)	Number of anti-personnel mines destroyed	Number of other explosive items destroyed	Number of areas released
2013	7,784,366	1,821,301	774,274	10,379,941	1,071	13,136	245
2014	898,524	285,212	2,469,368	3,653,104	171	17,091	127
2015	0	0	423,158	423,158	28	65,853	104
2016	1,503,676	2,337,945	1,044,104	4,885,725	105	267,130	302
2017	74,875	259,551	707,334	1,041,760	144	98,944	246
2018	0	0	22,244	22,244	0	62,644	36
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,261,441</b>	<b>4,704,009</b>	<b>5,440,482</b>	<b>20,405,932</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>524,798</b>	<b>1,060</b>

**Note:** This table reflects total area released and devices destroyed by year during in the first extension's period. During the years 2016-2017 huge area has been released in the Eastern States when Gadaref, Red Sea and later Kassala have been declared free of known & registered mines and ERW.

## 1.5 Resources Made Available to Support Progress

### I. The Government's Annual Fund to National Mine Action Program

The Sudan government funded mine action activities over the past years covering the operational expenses including the clearance of mines and UXO from the contaminated lands besides the wages of the workers. The total government fund in the subsequent years; 2014 and 2015 was \$500,000 and \$1,500,000 USD respectively.

In 2016, a sum of 2,000,000 USD was spent by Sudan government to undertake clearance expenses that supported efforts resulting in the declaration of Gadaref and Red Sea states free from landmines and ERW. The State budget also supported the disposal of the UXO contamination in Abu Karshola locality of South Kordofan state. In 2017, the total government investment in mine action activities amount to \$2,000,000 USD. Government support to the mine action program is expected to continue and increase especially with the positive signs lifting of sanction and general improvement in economic performance of the country.

TABLE 8: Funding forecast to the end of the current extension period, (2014-2018)

Fund Resource	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
GoS	500,000	1,500,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	8,000,000
UNMAS	198,609	300,000	2,700,000	2,059,530	1,367,470	6,625,609
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>698,609</b>	<b>1,800,000</b>	<b>4,700,000</b>	<b>4,059,530</b>	<b>3,367,470</b>	<b>14,625,609</b>

### II. Other Resources Mobilization (External Fund):

UNMAS-Sudan has undertaken a vital role in mobilising external resources for the Sudan mine action program by availing the following resources; Sudan Humanitarian Fund (SHF), Italy, Japan, USA, and UK during the ongoing extension period (April 2014 – April 2019). What have been achieved up to date could not have been achieved unless these external resources were availed.



PHOTO 3: UK demonstrates keenness in funding mine action activities in South Kordofan State

### 1.6 Circumstances that Impeded Compliance:

There are number of practical obstacles that have impeded Sudan from meeting its obligations under Article 5 of Ottawa Treaty during the current extension period. The impeding challenges are itemized below:

- a) Inadequate Funding for Demining Operations:
- b) Renewed and On-going Conflicts:
- c) New Level of Contamination:
- d) Information Gathering:
- e) Lack and Insufficient Demining Equipment:
- f) Deep Buried Mines/ERW and Metallic Contents of the Soil:
- g) Climatic factors and atmospheric conditions

**Learned Lessons** Conflict and additional insecurity will jeopardise all planning and preparations. Lack of funding is another concern that has to be taken into consideration. Population movements, high metallic contents of the soil in hazardous areas and heavy rainy season will cause to delay the overall clearance process.

### 1.7 Humanitarian, economic, social and environmental implications of the remaining challenge:

Landmines bring about death and causality to civilians especially the children as well as wildlife. Beside the direct effects on life, it imposes a heavy economic burden on survivors and their relatives. Comparably, the cost of mine clearance on average is less than to provide an artificial limb to a survivor from mine or ERW accident. The existence of landmines and ERW caused extreme socio-economic and environmental hardships to the affected population. Anti-personnel landmines are considered one of the most significant factors to an ailing economy and a barrier to social development in Sudan. The economic impact of landmines can be seen as one of the determinant factors of economic security as it prevents people in the affected areas from working, and victims with disabilities face difficulties in finding employment and remain dependent. Thus, landmines prevents sustainable development continue to pose a threat to human security and are a major obstacle to peace.

## 1.8 Sudan's Remaining Article 5 Challenge

Unfortunately, with exception of some limited parts in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states, the rest of the state of Blue Nile and South Kordofan is not accessible because of the continuation of war rekindled in 2011. Nonetheless, plans have been prepared to tackle the problem of mines in high threat areas once conditions permit. The total remaining contamination of AP mines is comprised of 98 confirmed and suspect hazardous areas, measuring 19,285,410 square metres. This includes: 53 CHA measuring 2,418,930 square metres and 45 SHA measuring 16,866,480 square metres, as given in the table below.

TABLE 9: Remaining level of contamination: Anti-Personnel Mines, 28 February 2018

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-personnel mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	4	219,663	4	835,400	8	1,055,063
S. Kordofan	49	2,199,267	35	15,998,689	84	18,197,956
W. Kordofan	0	0	3	21,991	3	21,991
Kassala	0	0	3	10,400	3	10,400
Red Sea	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gadaref	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2,418,930</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>16,866,480</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>19,285,410</b>

**Note:** This table reflects the remaining anti-personnel contamination from the beginning of the programme up to submission of the second extension request. It shows clearly the huge areas in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States.

TABLE 10: Remaining level of contamination: Anti-Tank Mines, 28 February 2018

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-Tank mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	1	3	3	106,000	106,003
S. Kordofan	3	3,303,295	20	1,580,753	4,884,048
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3,303,298</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1,686,753</b>	<b>4,990,051</b>

TABLE 11: Remaining level of contamination: ERW, 28 February 2018

State	Number of areas known to contain UXO	Amount of area known to contain UXO (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain UXO (square metres)
Blue Nile	5	5,555	0	0	5	5,555
South Kordofan	17	117,857	3	140,400	20	258,257
West Kordofan	2	2	0	0	2	2
Kassala	7	0	0	0	7	0
Central Darfur	0	17,010	0	0	6	17,010
Eastern Darfur	17	1,906,142	0	0	17	1,906,142
Northern Darfur	12	4	0	0	12	4
Southern Darfur	7	1	0	0	7	1
Western Darfur	23	4	0	0	23	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>2,046,575</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>140,400</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>2,186,975</b>

A summary of total contamination by State is given in the table below.

TABLE 12: Contamination by State, All Contamination types 28 February 2018

S/N	State	Number of Hazardous Areas	Size of contamination in metres squared
1	Blue Nile	17	1,166,621
2	South Kordofan	127	23,340,261
3	West Kordofan	5	21,993
4	Kassala	10	10,400
5	Central Darfur	6	17,010
6	Eastern Darfur	17	1,906,142
7	Northern Darfur	12	4
8	Southern Darfur	7	1
9	Western Darfur	23	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>224</b>	<b>26,462,436</b>

**Note:** This table reflects all remaining contamination from the beginning of the programme up to submission of the second extension request. It shows clearly the huge areas in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States.






FIGURE 2: Sudan Mine/ERW Contaminated Areas



### 1.9 Requested Period of Extension: Rationale:

Based on the situation elucidated above, Sudan has comprehensively become conscious of the level of contamination and the remaining hazardous areas under its jurisdiction or control - which equal 224 locations, measuring 26,462,436 square meters, including 19,285,410 square metres of anti-personnel contamination as of February.

Accordingly, as Sudan now pursuing another four (4) year extension; from 1 April 2019-1 April 2023, during which Sudan confidently believes it can address all registered mines and ERW contaminated areas, provided the continuity of fund flow, technical and logistical support and security stability. These factors are part of the challenge that operational planning for the extension period has been considered.

While the extension period focuses on the clearance of the already registered hazardous areas we ought not to lose the sight of the additional survey will be required in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states, Darfur and Abyei as security situation in those places is unpredictable and within likelihood of war continuation. In this regard, Sudan has developed the work plan given below.

### 1.10 Summary Work plan (2018-2023)

Sudan has developed a 2 phase work plan to address its remaining Article 5 challenge. Phase 1 covers the remaining period of its current extension request, 2018-2019, Phase 2 the period of the extension request (2019-2023).

It is important to note that the work plan has been developed based on LIS surveys undertaken during 2007-2009. It is expected that access to remaining areas will result in the cancellation of LIS areas as well as new hazardous areas being identified. In this way, Sudan will keep States Parties informed annually, of changes in access and progress in survey implementation. Once survey has been completed Sudan will inform States Parties of the impact of newly identified hazardous areas as well as the results of any re-survey on the milestones and resources as given in this work plan. Based on these impacts, Sudan will provide an updated work plan for the remaining period of the extension, and may be required to request additional time and resources, as necessary.

### Article 5 Implementation Timeline

TABLE 13: Annual clearance plan for the extension period (2018-2023)

No.	State	Multi Year Work Plan 2017-2023						
		2018	2019	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Blue Nile State		Current Extension Request, (Phase 1)		Phase 2				
1	Al Roseires	←→						
2	Bau			←→				
3	Kurmuk			←→				
South Kordofan State		Phase 1		Phase 2				
1	Abu Jeebeha	←→						
2	Dalang	←→		←→				

3	Kadugli	←→		←→			
4	Rashad	←→		←→			
5	Talodi			←→			
	Western Kordofan	Phase 1		Phase 2			
	Abeyi			←→			

The table below includes a summary of projections for the number of areas and the amount of area (square metres) known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines to be released during the extension period, 2019-2023.

Table 14: Land release milestones by year, (2019-2023)

	Hazards			Area to be addressed		
	SHA	CHA	Total	Area Cancelled though NTS	Area Cleared	Total Area to be addressed
2017-18	80	3	83	3783116	420346.2	4203462
2018-19	54	3	57	11944390	1327154	13271544
2019-20	16	2	18	4943930	549325.6	5493256
2020-21	4	16	20	1045828	116203.1	1162031
2021-22	13	7	20	1054315	117146.1	1171461
2022-23	4	22	26	1044614	116068.2	1160682
<b>Total</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>23816192</b>	<b>2646244</b>	<b>26,462,436</b>

### 1.11 Current Capacities, remaining challenge and gaps

In 2018, three national entities, FPDO, JASMAR and NUMAD delivered quality results. To ensure quality outputs, NMAC conducted frequent QA visits to the field monitored by UNMAS Sudan technical advisor.

At the time of writing, there are only two international contractors, AAR Japan which is implementing MRE & VA in Kassala State and Dynasafe which is deployed and focused on ordnance disposal operation activities in Darfur.

Since June 2011 the Sudan Mine Action Programme was facing challenges in accessing most Mines/ERW contaminated areas in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states. However, during the period (2011 – 2018), survey and clearance operations have taken place in both South Kordofan and Blue Nile States. These operations resulted in the registration of 14 CHA measuring 497,909 square meters and 234 SHA measuring 4,633,077 square meters. A total of 248 hazardous areas with 5,130,986 square meters were identified. Out of total number 217 hazardous areas have been cleared, 6 CHA and 211 SHA.

As mentioned above, Dynasafe is currently operating in Darfur where their main task is to support UNAMID and to conduct EOD tasks in Darfur. The Mine Action organization assets distributed is reflected in the following table:

TABLE 15: Operator deployment by location and year

Operators/Years	2017	2018	2019	2019 - 2023
<b>NUMAD</b>	Blue Nile Kassala	South Kordofan Blue Nile Kassala	South Kordofan Blue Nile	South Kordofan West Kordofan Blue Nile
<b>JASMAR</b>	Kassala Blue Nile	South Kordofan Blue Nile	South Kordofan Blue Nile	South Kordofan Blue Nile
<b>FPDO</b>	South Kordofan	South Kordofan	South Kordofan	South Kordofan West Kordofan
<b>DYNASAFE</b>	Darfur	Darfur	Darfur	Darfur

This distribution based on the required demining capacities to be fully operating and funded during the extension period. In total following assets will be deployed:

- Two mechanical teams (MECH).
- Seven manual clearance teams (MCT, 8 deminers each).
- Six multi-tasking teams (MTT, 4 deminers each).
- Three mine detection dog teams (MDD, 3 dogs each).

#### International Request for support

Presently, there are no international entities working in Sudan, if Darfur region exempted. It is hoped that with increased accessibility to its remaining contaminated areas the results of re-survey of existing areas and identification of new areas Sudan will possess a clear and accurate measure of its capacities and needs. In this way, international NGOs and commercial companies are encouraged to engage with Sudan to begin how they can have a positive contribution to the overall efforts aim to clear the lands from mines/ERW.

### 1.12 Financial Resources (National and International)

TABLE 16: Annual funding obtained to support mine action activities, (USD)

Funding Resources	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Required Fund</b>	13,110,647	17,984,432	14,627,664	4,931,661	4,931,661	4,252,541
<b>GoS</b>	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
<b>UNMAS</b>	1,367,470	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Funding Gap</b>	9,743,177	15,984,432	12,627,664	2,931,661	2,931,661	2,252,541

In this case the total funding gap for the extension period is US \$59,838,606

## 1.13 Assumptions / Risks

### Assumptions

Sudan's plan for the clearance of the contaminated areas is based on the assumption that the security situation in regions contaminated with mines and ERW will improve. Presently, all the eastern states are accessible from the security point of view and it is hoped that Blue Nile and South Kordofan states will become secure as well for the demining teams to reach the hazardous areas. In this regard Sudan will provide annual updates to States Parties on any changes in accessibility to the remaining hazardous areas. Once survey has been completed Sudan will inform States Parties on the impact of newly identified hazardous areas as well as the results of re-survey on the milestones and resources as given in the work plan. Based on these impacts, Sudan will provide an updated work plan for the remaining period of the extension and may request additional time and resources, as required. Funding again is another major concern and all plans base on the assumption of adequate funding to the programme.

Though the predominant optimistic atmosphere mainly emanated from the lift of sanction and Sudan welcoming of the entry of international organizations, if the similar conditions delineated in the precedent paragraphs persist during the remaining period of the current extension and the new extension period, sadly that we should prepare for the similar result.

### The prospect of mine action in Sudan in forthcoming few years

There is a very real humanitarian urgency for mine action activities to take place in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States, which is currently not being met. Not only because of the significant difficulties in accessing mine affected areas due to the ongoing armed conflict in many of the mine affected areas. The UN and INGO entities based in Kadugli and El-Damazin e.g. OCHA has limited, direct access to the mine-affected areas due to the security uncertainties. In addition, organizations working cross-border from neighbouring countries have experienced a decrease in reachable areas over the last few years. While this gloomy picture may suggest that the prospects of mine action will be at a very low point especially after the imminent declaration of Kassala state as devoid of landmines and explosive remnant of war by the advent of December 2017. Lifting of sanctions would positively reflect on the ongoing national political dialogue as well regarding two areas, (Blue Nile and South Kordofan). These developments actually furnished conducive atmosphere for the country to identify several possible openings in the two states; Blue Nile and South Kordofan, which may substantially increase the possibility of mine action activities to take place in the forthcoming few years.

### Risk Factors:

During the current extension period there was a realm of possibilities that have resistively affected the completion of planned demining activities and the likened will be expected to have the same influences on the operations progress in the forthcoming extension period. The risks that are likely to be encountered are as follow:

- a. **The Overall Political and Economic Situation:** The plan assumes that the political and economic situations remain in favour of the clearance operations.
- b. **Security Situation in the Operational Areas:** Ongoing conflict in some parts of South Kordofan and Blue Nile may affect the operations plan.
- c. **Funding:** The plan for clearance of the mined areas in Sudan largely depends on the continuation of funding from the international community as well as the Government of Sudan.
- d. **Weather:** Generally, Sudan experienced heavy rains from June to October. During this time of the year operations activities may shut-down or conducted in limited areas which may result in failure to meet the stated deadlines of the extension period. There is great possibility that the floods resulted from the heavy rains move or deeply bury mines and ERW resulting in miss

mines or ERW which may also delay the process.

- e. **Terrains:** Minefields in the southern part of Sudan are located in thick vegetation and mountainous areas. The vegetation drills and demining of hard surface of an even ground surface (sharp slopes) both are time consuming.

### 1.14 Introduction

The Government of Sudan (GoS) signed the Mine Ban Treaty of Ottawa on 4 December 1997 and ratified it on 13 October 2003. On 23 March 2013 Sudan submitted a request to extend its Article 5 mine action deadline to 1 April 2019. This was unanimously agreed by the Thirteenth Meeting of States Parties, (13MSP).

During this period the Government of Sudan worked hand in hand with the state members of the Ottawa Treaty, donors and experts within daunting mix political, economic, security and climatic circumstances towards achieving the goal of; 'Sudan free from mines', the goal that we sincerely believe with its full attainment we could realize the security and welfare of our people. What has been achieved up-to-date is tremendous. However, there still much to be achieved in compliance with the Ottawa treaty.

Despite the great interest and sustained support awarded to the humanitarian mine action program by the state and appreciative effort and supportive role being played by UNMAS in close collaboration with NMAC during the past period, the upshot of the field visits and reports, studious evaluation and uncompromising analysis of the magnitude of the problem with full consideration to the multiple challenges beset it, have quoted us to the inescapable conclusion that Sudan by all measures will not be able to complete the clearance of all registered contaminated areas within the frame time of the ongoing extension period a matter that requiring an extended period of four years (1 April 2019 until 1 April 2023) in order to accomplish the job and adequately conform to the stipulations stated in the Convention.

### 1.15 Origin of Sudan's Article 5 challenge

The Republic of Sudan has been plagued by a devastating civil war for nearly sixty two years. A matter that engendered seismic consequences exhibited clearly in the chronic political, economic, social and security crises collectively contributed to the destabilization of the country. For the last a half century, Sudan's geopolitical image has been termed with turbulent decades of internal turmoil and protracted domestic contest. The geographical context of Sudan characterized by spacious terrain coupled with a centralized system of governance that has in fact worked to undermine the influence of the centre on the remote peripheries. A situation that has been exacerbated by a lack of communication and transportation infrastructure. The countries demographic setting, with considerable diversity, has essentially attributed to a wide-range of ethnic and dialect heterogeneity that has led to cultural disparities among its people. Needless to say that Sudan's conflict has cost the country dearly in lost lives and millions of displaced civilians.



PHOTO 3: Walking nearby Lufo Gharib Minefield in South Kordofan State



Photo 4: ERW contamination in Eastern Sudan

Sudan's progress in addressing its Article 5 obligations during the period, 2004-2014, is well documented in detail in its first extension request. What is given below is part of the key actions undertaken by Sudan during this period.

Based on cooperation between GoS and SPLM/A, a tripartite Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed among the GoS, SPLM and UNMAS on 19 September 2002, in Geneva. This agreement provided a framework for mine action activities to be undertaken throughout Sudan. In addition, the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) and UN Security Council Resolution 1590 further enhanced the role and mandate of the UN in Sudan in general and in the field of mine action in particular. After the tripartite agreement, mine clearance operations started in cross-lines/conflicts areas. The preliminary estimations at the time indicated that mines and other ERW affected approximately 800,000 square kilometres equivalent to 32% of the country.

Records of landmine use in Sudan were rarely kept and records that do exist are often inaccurate or out of date. At this time, the true extent and impact of Sudan's landmines problem remained largely unknown, there was a need for a comprehensive assessment of mine affected communities.

During the period 2002 – 2007, several surveys, including, non-technical survey/General Mine Action Assessment (GMAA) and Technical Survey (TA) methods, were carried out by the Swiss Demining Federation (FSD) to identify Suspected Hazardous Areas (SHA).

In 2002, The Danish Church Aid (DCA) in coordination with UNMAS conducted a Landmine Impact Survey (LIS) using mixed survey teams in GoS and SPLM/A areas of the region. These surveys presented sufficient evidence to launch further humanitarian work.

In 2004, a joint series of socio-economic impact surveys were carried out by Landmines Action/ Sudan Landmine Information and Response Initiative (SLIRI) in collaboration with UNMAS in 75 villages throughout Nuba Mountains. Lastly, the Survey Action Centre (SAC) conducted LIS in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States. The LIS was conducted in Sudan during the period July 2007 – Feb 2009 and covered the states of Blue Nile, South Kordofan, Red Sea, Kassala and Gadaref.

The LIS resulted in the identification of a total of 221 locations suspected to be contaminated with mines and/or ERW. Following the LIS the national mine action data-base included a total of 1,125 Dangerous Areas (DA) measuring 1,965,054,889 square meters, 150 minefields (MF) measuring 20,761,022 square meters and 221 suspected hazardous areas (SHA). The actual baseline was established only when the Landmines Impact Survey (LIS) was carried out through which the results of all previous surveys as well as the other available information were reviewed and re-considered.



### 1.16 Nature and Extent of the Article 5 Challenge at the beginning of the previous extension request

By 2014, the estimated remaining Mine/ERW contamination affected ten out of Sudan's eighteen States; Gadaref, Red Sea, Kassala, South Kordofan, Blue Nile with mines and ERW, in addition to the five Darfur region states; North, East, South, West and central are contaminated with ERW. As set out in the Table below contamination is largely concentrated in South Kordofan, Kassala, and Blue Nile. While Gadaref and Red Sea States each has less anti-personnel landmine contamination. No mine contamination has been reported in Darfur where the major threat is ERW.

TABLE 17: Anti-personnel mine contamination, January 2013

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-personnel mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	6	272,456	5	905,583	11	1,178,039
S. Kordofan	48	2,183,800	36	15,615,710	84	17,799,510
Kassala	4	481,008	19	5,240,753	23	5,721,761
Red Sea	0	0	1	7,200	1	7,200
Gadaref	0	0	1	10,000	1	10,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>2,937,264</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>21,779,246</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>24,716,510</b>

However, the remaining 7% of known hazard area comprises 21% of the total contamination in terms of square meters, due to difference in sizes of each hazard areas. Moreover, in the past fifteen years a period that spanned the duration of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> extension, more than 10,275 anti-personnel mines have been destroyed, and an area of 106,336,854 square meter has been cleared. Unfortunately, based on new findings greater contamination has occurred due to the escalation of war in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states.

### 1.17 Nature and Extent of Progress made: Quantitative Aspects

In spite of vast growing challenges, the Sudan mine action program has succeeded in reducing the total number of known hazards by 93%. However the remaining 7% of known hazard areas comprises 21% of total contamination in terms of square meters, due to difference in sizes of each hazard areas. Moreover, in the past ten years more than 10,275 anti-personnel mines have been destroyed. An area of 106,336,854 square meter has been cleared. It should be noted that if the original LIS baseline had remained the same, it would be safe to say that Sudan has fulfilled its obligations under Article 5. Unfortunately, based on new findings, the baseline, as mentioned in section I, has expanded significantly due to the escalation of war in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States.

During the operational period, (2013 to 2017) the Sudan mine action programme cleared a total of **20,405,932** square metres of land and handed over to the local communities in the states, Red Sea, Kassala, Gadaref, Southern Kordofan, Blue Nile and Darfur. Figures disaggregated by cancellation through non-technical survey (NTS), reduction through technical survey (TS), and release through clearance as shown in the table below.



TABLE 18: Land release progress by State, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018

State	Cancelled area NTS (square metres)	Reduced area TS (square metres)	Cleared area (square metres)	Total area released (square metres)	Number of anti-personnel mines destroyed	Number of other explosive items destroyed	Number of areas released
Blue Nile	0	0	100,989	100,989	0	53,088	106
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100,989</b>	<b>100,989</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53,088</b>	<b>106</b>
Kassala	8,555,382	3,385,363	4,739,438	16,680,183	1,391	8,398	300
Gadaref	250,000	182,633	344,499	777,132	128	30,356	18
Red Sea	1,382,246	1,116,013	208,202	2,706,461	0	111	19
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>10,187,628</b>	<b>4,684,009</b>	<b>5,292,139</b>	<b>20,163,776</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>38,865</b>	<b>337</b>
Kordofan							
S. Kordofan	73,813	20,000	47,354	141,167	0	1,086	61
Western Kordofan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>73,813</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>47,354</b>	<b>141,167</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,086</b>	<b>61</b>
Darfur							
Central Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	61,375	81
Eastern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	1,677	55
Northern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	271,776	147
Southern Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	32,997	67
Western Darfur	0	0	0	0	0	63,934	206
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>431,759</b>	<b>556</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,261,441</b>	<b>4,704,009</b>	<b>5,440,482</b>	<b>20,405,932</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>524,798</b>	<b>1,060</b>

TABLE 19: Areas released, APM/ATM devices destroyed by year, 1 January 2013 – 28 February 2018

Year	Cancelled area NTS (square metres)	Reduced area TS (square metres)	Cleared area (square metres)	Total area released (square metres)	Number of anti-personnel mines destroyed	Number of other explosive items destroyed	Number of areas released
2013	7,784,366	1,821,301	774,274	10,379,941	1,071	13,136	245
2014	898,524	285,212	2,469,368	3,653,104	171	17,091	127
2015	0	0	423,158	423,158	28	65,853	104
2016	1,503,676	2,337,945	1,044,104	4,885,725	105	267,130	302
2017	74,875	259,551	707,334	1,041,760	144	98,944	246
2018	0	0	22,244	22,244	0	62,644	36
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,261,441</b>	<b>4,704,009</b>	<b>5,440,482</b>	<b>20,405,932</b>	<b>1,519</b>	<b>524,798</b>	<b>1,060</b>

During the operational period from 2013 to 2017 the Sudan Mine Action Programme destroyed a total of **1,519** Anti-Personnel Mines (APM), **470** Anti-Tank Mines (ATM), **32,397** Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) and **491,931** Small Arms Ammunition (SAA) in the States (Red Sea, Kassala, Gadaref, Southern Kordofan, Blue Nile and Darfur), as given in table above.

During the operational period from 2002 to 2017 the Sudan Mine Action Programme destroyed a total of 10,275 Anti-Personnel Mines (APM), 3,238 Anti-Tank Mines (ATM), 85,312 items of Unexploded

Ordnance (UXO) and 884,633 Small Arms Ammunition (SAA) in the States Red Sea, Kassala, Gadaref, Southern Kordofan ,Blue Nile and Darfur, as the table below shows.



Photo 4: Official celebration of Gadaref state free from landmines and ERW

**Clearance Completion of Gadaref and Red Sea States:** All registered Confirmed Hazardous Areas (CHA) reported in two states were completely cleared in 2016, Gadaref and 2017, the Red Sea, respectively. As a result, the two states were officially declared by NMAC as free from mines and ERW in big ceremonies held especially for this purpose. The below table illustrates the details of sizes of the cleared registered contaminated areas and number of destroyed explosive ordnances/items in the two states;

#### **Red Sea State**

The May 2017 has witnessed the clearance completion and declaration of the Red Sea state free from landmines and explosive remnant of war. In the Red Sea state a total of 55 registered hazardous areas measured 12, 783,985 square metres was cleared. Total of 37 anti-personnel landmines, 26 anti-tank landmines, 2,855 UXO and 16,697 small arm ammunitions were destroyed as well.



PHOTO 5 CELEBRATING THE RED SEA STATE FREE FROM LANDMINES AND ERW

TABLE 20: Clearance statistics of completed States, May 2017

State	Registered Contaminated Area	Area Size (m <sup>2</sup> )	AP	AT	UXO	SAA
Gadaref	18	777,132	128	7	1,730	28,619
Red Sea	55	12,783,985	37	26	2,855	16,697
<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>13,561,117</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4,585</b>	<b>45,316</b>

Numerous examples can be cited on how local communities benefited of the land released from mines/ERW, several case studies highlighting the positive impact of humanitarian demining are given below.

**Case Study 1:**

*Allugdi* village of Gadaref state is one example of land released to the usage of the local communities. *Allugdi* is located in highly fertile ground of vast agricultural area in Gadaref state bordering Ethiopia. The village has been blocked by landmines at the beginning of the war in the East of Sudan in 2002. The situation negatively impacted on the local communities and deprived them to utilize the lands for agricultural and grazing purposes and consequently affect their livelihood and reduce chances for employment opportunities. A total of 72, 692 square metres of *Allugdi*, area equivalent to 5 minefields have been finally released for agricultural activities in April 2015 simultaneously with the official declaration of Gadaref free from landmines and ERW.



Photo 5: *Allugdi* agricultural area is being cultivated by local community after clearance

The result was positively impacted the socio-economic aspect of the local communities and enabled them to utilize their lands; replanting and grazing the area for the subsequent three years; 2015, 2016 and 2017 as well as building houses on their properties which were left unused for long years because of landmines and ERW contamination.

**Clearance Completion of Kassala State:** Operations are smoothly progressing in Kassala State and it is expected Kassala state will be declared free from landmines and ERW in March 2018. By the clearance completion of Kassala state, all Sudan's Eastern States will become free from mines and ERW then efforts will be shifted to Blue Nile, South Kordofan, Abyei and Darfur.

## CASE STUDY 2: OPENING KASSALA- PORT SUDAN WATER PIPE LINE

In the Red Sea state, the clearance of 460 km water pipe way started from *Aroma-Um Odam (town)* in Kassala state to coastal city of Port Sudan has considerably contributed to solution of drinking water crisis in the Red Sea State, perpetuated for long years because of the mines contamination.



## Case Study 3:

The clearance of Girgir-Kassala road has expedited the delivery of medical services to Girgir village from Kassala hospital. In the past, tragic mortality cases were recorded among the pregnant during delivery in Girgir due to the long detour it took them to reach Kassala hospital (from 64 km to 17 km).

In Darfur work will continue in support of the UNAMID focusing on spot clearance tasks around Jebel Marra areas. In Darfur the problem is mainly ERW.







In preliminary preparations to declare the whole Darfur states free from explosive remnant of war within the framework of the National Mine Action Centre (NMAC) strategy, “Sudan Free from Landmines and Explosive Remnant of War”, NMAC memorialized the announcement of *Foro Boranga* locality of western Darfur region free from explosive remnant of war in 24<sup>th</sup> April 2017. Midst rejoicing popular masses honoured by his Excellency Field Marshal Ali Mohamed Salem – State Minister of the Ministry of Defence, Western Darfur State’s Governor, Head of UNAMID-Sector West and UNAMID-ODO, official, popular and society leaderships, the local authority of the Western Darfur handed over the freed area.

**Clearance Completion of ERW in Foro Boranga:** In 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2017, the locality of Foro Boranga of Western Darfur state has been announced free from explosive remnants of war (ERW). The announcement considered a wide-stride on the way to declare all Darfur’s states devoid of unexploded explosive ordnance as a part of the country’s broad strategy that aims to declare Sudan free from landmines and ERW. The function was celebrated by masses of Western Darfur population and witnessed by the Head of UNAMID, Director of UNAMID Ordnance Disposal Office (ODO) and the Governor of West Darfur State.

#### **Survey in Blue Nile and South Kordofan:**

In the course of the current operational season; 2017 – 2018, survey and clearance operations have taken place in both South Kordofan and Blue Nile States. These operations resulted in the registration of 1 CHA measuring 16,670 square meters and 37 SHA measuring 2,830,824 square meters. A total of 38 hazardous areas with 2,847,494 square meters were identified. Total Area Released is 2,456,097 sqm with 67,216 (cleared), 10,000 (TS), 64,875 (NTS) and 2,314,006 (BAC).

It worthwhile to mention that the road network of South Kordofan State has been gravely affected by landmines that came as a natural consequence of the late war erupted in the mid of 2011. And in the walk of the recurrent road accidents that followed, large stretches of roads fear to be littered with landmines. Eventually, this situation has reversely impacted humanitarian outreach and impeded aid delivery to the needy population. Consequently, humanitarian work was completely stalemated and organizations capacity to lend helping hand considerably shackled.

The clearance of Habila – Dalami, Dalami – Dari and Dari – Abri roads have been verified with length of 62 km just come as an immediate response to the overriding priority once being signalled by OCHA in a joint meeting with NMAC.

The humanitarian returns from the demining of these routes are estimated to be high in general, let alone when kept in mind that there are additional non-quantifiable benefits for individuals, communities and societies. The benefits from the clearing of these routes are calculated as cost and time savings for passengers now travelling with vehicles on the safe road link as compared to the longer alternative routes.

## **1.18 Nature and Extent of Progress Made: Qualitative Aspects**

### **1.18.1 National Demining Structure**

The National Mine Action Centre (NMAC) came into being in 2005 to work in partnership with United Nations Mine Action Office in Sudan (UNMAO) with the objective to recreate an environment in which people can live safely, in which economic, social and health development can occur free from the constraints imposed by landmine contamination, and in which the victims' needs are addressed. NMAC, up to present day, has been following on the implementation of the obligations of the Government of the Sudan under Ottawa and other relevant treaties on mine action. Also as part of its mandate, NMAC approves mine action strategies and plans at national level through its sub-offices in the country. NMAC has seven sub-offices in the regions affected by mines and ERW with its headquarters. In July 2007, the NMAC established three sub offices each in Kassala, Kassala State, Kadugli, South Kordofan, and Damazin, Blue Nile. With the development of these three sub offices the NMAC involved in managing mine action operations jointly with UNMAO. The main role of planning, tasking and Quality Management was consolidated and all documentation checked, reviewed and signed by NMAC and UNMAO representatives at the sub office level. This arrangement continued until the departure of UNMAO in June 2011. In Darfur NMAC established four sub offices in North, South, West and Central states to work in collaboration with UNAMID Ordnance Disposal Office. The NMAC office located in Khartoum- act as the focal point and coordination mechanism for all mine action activities;

- Ensuring that all stakeholders are represented and heard.
- Developing a national mine action plan which fully incorporates the socio-development of the country and integrates all stakeholders.
- Information management, including the collection and dissemination of data and the implementation of Information Management System for Mine Action (IMSMA).
- Integrating the sectors of mine action (mine risk education, victim assistance, clearance, advocacy and stockpile reduction) into a coherent holistic programme.
- Assisting and coordinating organizations to mobilize resources; and
- Developing and implementing technical and safety standards, quality assurance, and quality management procedures.

### **National Mine Action Authority (NMAA):**

Another supreme national body is The National Mine Action Authority (NMAA) established by Presidential Decree No. 299, dated 24 December 2005, followed by its official launch in a high level ceremony attended by the President of the country on 7 March, 2006 in Khartoum. Based on the issuance of the Presidential Decree, the National Mine Action Policy Framework was developed, approved by the High National Mine Action Committee and passed by the Council of Ministers of the Government of National Unity (GoNU) of the time on 6 August, 2006. The National Mine Action Authority under the chairmanship of the Minister of Defense with other line ministries as members meets annually to review the progress of mine action in the country and to make specific recommendations regarding mine action operation to NMAC if needed. The responsibility of the national authorities with the full support of the UN to ensure that mine action is coordinated, established in accordance with international standards and

undertaken within the context of national development. This is best achieved by creating policies, establishing standards and passing legislation governing all aspects of mine action as early as possible.

A transition Plan to fully transfer the role of managing mine action operations from the UN to the NMAC was developed in a joint workshop between NMAC and UNMAO held in Nairobi on 18 Nov 2008. Based on the transitional plan UNMAO started building the capacity of the NMAC staff through a peer to peer approach and on the job training. The function of planning, tasking and quality management continued to be carried out jointly by NMAC and UNMAO until June 2011 when transition from UNMAO to NMAC was completed.

Today all these tasks are carried out by NMAC with limited support from UN. In 2010, as a result of the Transition Plan the GoS passed the Sudan Mine Action Law to fulfil its obligations under the Ottawa Treaty and, to enable NMAC to face the transition challenges more effectively. Based on the law the perpetrators violating the articles in the Ottawa Convention will be penalized for their actions.

Following is the existing structure of the National Mine Action Authority and its relationship with NMAC and other concerned bodies:



FIGURE 3: National Mine Action Structure

Sudan has long been sought to re-engage the United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS) in Sudan following its mandate expiration and departure of the country in December 2013. Within the absence of UNMAS during the year 2014, UNDP filled the gap and led the UN support role to Mine Action program in Sudan. In late 2014, UNDP re-oriented its activities away from mine action. In this vein, the Government of Sudan exercised exertion on high levels has yielded in UNMAS resumed activities in Sudan by April 2015, to assist NMAC in building institutional capacity, meeting obligations under Article 5 of the Anti-personnel Mine Ban Convention ('Ottawa Treaty') and other relevant international treaties, in addition to mobilizing resources for land release, risk education (MRE) and victim assistance (VA). Also to provide technical consultations to enhance the capacity of National Mine Action Centre in management and coordination of Mine Action operations in Sudan and strengthen national capacity to deal with current contamination and residual risk and facilitate the flow of financial support from donors to enable and qualify Sudan to meet Ottawa's obligations.

In reaffirming the high consideration Sudan's officials being given to mine action program, is the on regular-basis field sessions convened in Mine Action Centre by the Ministerial Council – the supreme executive power in the country - to give contemplating pause and cast lights on mine action projects and activities, get acquainted with progress as well as challenges encountered and work to find solution to persistent problems and smoothen the rough edges confronted by the program in the course to realize the announced goal 'Sudan free from mines'.

## 1.19 Sudan Mine Action Strategy

### Reviewing of National Mine Action Strategy during the Extension Period:

The National Mine Action Strategy is currently in the process of being reviewed, with a specific focus on resource mobilisation strategy for key programming areas of land release, MRE and Victims Assistance. Sudan will make the strategy available to States Parties once it has been approved.

### National Technical Standard and Guidelines (NTSGs)

The review of Sudan's National Technical Standard & Guidelines (NTSGs) are still being finalized. Once approved Sudan will make the NTSGs available for States Parties. Critical safety, control and quality elements of the International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) have been retained in the Sudan Mine Action Standards, to ensure that Sudan NTSGs maintains the principles and spirit agreed in IMAS. The work of preparing, reviewing and revising of the NTSGs was conducted by a technical committee formed from NMAC, UNMAS, and National Units for Mine Action and Development (NUMAD), TDI, JASMAR, FPDO and with the support of an international expertise from UNAMID-ODO. The latest version of the NTSGs will be uploaded at NMAC website. Accordingly, the SOPs of all mine action operators in Sudan will be in compliance with the NTSGs.

## 1.20 National Capacity Building Achievements:

NMAC with its headquarters in Khartoum and seven sub-offices, one in each region affected by mines and ERW, is well positioned to plan and execute demining operations in the country. NMAC down-sizes the staff of the sub-offices in the States where clearance has been completed and keep small office with multi-tasking capacity well equipped and trained to deal with emergency case (residual risk). For instance Kassala sub-office is still open with MRE/CL Team and Multi-Tasking Team funded by the Government standing-by to deal with the residual contamination that may discover in the future. NMAC and its sub-offices are mainly working as coordinators but the actual mine action implementation is carried out by NUMAD, JASMAR and FPDO, all national organizations.

In the year 2013 the staff from NUMAD, JASMAR and FPDO underwent trainings for leadership and EOD capacity and also for Mine Wolf machine operation. In general, the staff of the three organizations has sufficient demining experience since they have been involved in a partnership role with international NGOs and commercial companies throughout the past years.

As for the international demining NGOs and commercial companies, Dynasafe is the only one working presently in the Sudan, in addition to AAR Japan working in Mine Risk Education and Victim Assistance. Potentially there is a good chance that more will come if funding is available.

**Introduction of IMSMA-NG:** The government's persistent efforts and strong supports to the national mine action program came to the prominence of international community through Sudan's regular presence and systematic participation in international mine action forums and conferences. Finally, these efforts yielded a fruition represented in the uplift of sanction on information sophisticated technology as a part of economic penalties imposed on Sudan for the last twenty years, a matter that bring about upheaval in Information Management System for Mine Action (IMSMA), as IMSMA New Generation (NG) being introduced for the first time in Sudan mine action program. Required apparatuses, devices and equipment were supplied to enable immediate and full application of IMSMA-NG; Sudanese national operators were also trained on the new program. Such information revolution was reflected positively enabling Sudan mine action program up to international standards and catch up with its counterparts.

External training courses attended by NMAC personnel during the current extension period:

- **Coordination, Monitoring and Reporting:**



- **Cooperation and Assistance/Resource Mobilization:** UNMAS in Sudan mobilizes resources for targeted assistance that will have an immediate impact on the lives of mine and ERW survivors.

The noticeable drop in the number of landmines and ERW victims registered in 2016 up to the mid of 2017, compares to the last ten years was chiefly attributed to the coordinated efforts in clearance of the mined areas and risk education.

#### **Mine Detection Dogs (MDDs) Achievements:**

Sudan's Mine Detection Dogs (MDDs) Training Center stands as an evidence for the fruitful cooperation between Sudan and the Japanese government in the domain of mine action. In addition to manual and mechanical mine clearance methods in possession of Sudan, now a third demining tool of MDD being added. In the first quarter of 2017, the MDD Training Center was provided with 10 MDDs donated by Afghanistan Programme. Total of 15 national MDD handlers were trained and accredited by an international expert from Afghanistan and they are now gearing for immediate deployment in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States. Since MDD is used mostly as technical survey asset, NMAC is planning to utilise MDD in routes verification/clearance in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States to open access for organisations work on humanitarian assistance, other the including mine action organisations and the local population. MDD plays an important role in quality control, which will improve clearance effectiveness and efficiency.

#### **Progress Made in Mine Risk Education:**

The national progress achieved in Mine Risk Education (MRE) and Risk Education (RE) during the period 2013-2018 is represented in the following areas;

- Total of 1,174,825 citizens were received and benefited of mines and ERW risk awareness presented to them through various means of messages dissemination.
- A total of 525 individuals were trained on Community Risk Awareness and on the use of the Guidance in Community-Base Risk Awareness for the five Darfur States.
- Smart partnership with NGOs/ INGOs to provide MRE and RE services to the affected communities Sudan-wide was establishment by NMAC.
- Access to official audio and visual mass-media; radio and TV was made available by NMAC to be used as awareness forums through which national organizations and Ministry of Education can air risk messages to the affected communities as well as other dissemination methods such as lectures, posters dispensing and campaigns under the direct supervision of NMAC.
- In collaboration with National Council for Motherhood and Childhood Welfares, UNICEF and Ministry of Education (MoE), NMAC integrated the Mine Risks Education into the school curriculum for basic and high secondary schools in the affected areas to ensure the sustainability of the safety messages. Training workshops for school teachers and seminars were also held and organized by NMAC to ensure smooth and harmony integration of MRE in school syllabuses.
- 6,100 copies of explosive risk education curriculum for high secondary school and 12,000 copies of explosive risk education curriculum for basic school were printed and distributed in Darfur states.
- Re-print and distribution of 6,400 copies for basic school and 4,000 copies for high secondary school of the school MRE associated curriculum in Kassala, Blue Nile and South Kordofan States.
- A total of 10,000 copies of posters were distributed in the affected states.
- A total of 4000 school wall-board newspapers re-printed and distribution in school of the affected states.
- In collaboration with the UNICEF, the Social Awareness Guide for the use of volunteers was printed by NMAC to guide them to voluntary provides MRE to the affected communities.
- NMAC maintained close coordination with the Union of Disabilities, as a result considerable numbers of persons with disabilities were trained on MRE whether in Khartoum or affected states.

FIGURE 4: Percentage of people who received MRE disaggregated by sex and gender





FIGURE 5: No of people received MRE by State



**Victims Assistance Achievements:**

The Sudan’s Victims Assistance Strategic Plan which is set-up and approved by NMAC, aims to avail a consistent approach to comprehensive, adequate and appropriate age and gender-sensitive assistance to the victims of landmines and explosive remnants of war. The work plan was guided by Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention and Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and it generally revolves around the following principled pivotal:

- **Data Collection & Need Assessment of the Victims:** systematic information gathering mechanism was internally adapted by NMAC information management system to specifically provide timely and accurate consensual information on the victims and maintain records. Registration record for victims, flow of information on accidents and victims involved was also established in all affected states through NMAC sub-offices to channel organizations reporting on new accidents and victims. The initial assessment and reporting task mainly shouldered by the implementing national or international organizations whether they are operating in VA or MRE or clearance. Accordingly, all new reports on victims will be captured recorded, reviewed, processed and compiled on annual basis for reporting purposes. Sometimes comprehensive

reporting remains a challenging due to limit access and capacities to gather information on the ground.

- **Access to Services & Opportunities:** NMAC worked in the promotion and reinforcement of a consistent provision of medical care services, psychological support, social and economic reintegration and education of the victims. Facilities of rehabilitation services were built and equipped with necessary equipment. Medical facility of Hope City was established by the Ministry of Defence to look after the war disabled persons and victims of landmines and ERW and other disabilities regardless of victims being military personnel or civilians. The National Prosthetic Corporation was supported and equipped with necessary aids by the government and other donors in order to fill the gap in provision and rehabilitation of prosthetic limbs and other mobility aids to the needy victims.
- **Plan, Policies and Legal Framework:** Guided by the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, Sudan laws and regulations that ensure the rights of persons with disabilities were further promoted and stressed through enlightening workshops and activation of the pertinent laws. NMAC follow-up to ensure that all victims received free educational services in government educational facilities and that every disabled child is exempted from school fees.
- **Economic Inclusion & Social Integration:** Several income generating micro-projects for victims' assistance were oversaw by NMAC and implemented by national organizations e.g. Friends of Peace and Development Organization (FPDO) within the framework of socio-economic inclusion and poverty elimination. The limited fund availed to the victims assistance projects still restricts the benefit of these project within a very few beneficiaries e.g. in July 2017, AAR-Japan donated 12,920 \$ for 2 months project duration in income generating in Kassala state implemented by FPDO. 10 of the victims were benefited of the project.
- **Awareness-Raising:** NMAC in collaboration with NGOs occasionally organized workshops related to activities undertaken to raise awareness on the rights of survivors, other persons with disabilities and indirect victims, their needs, and available services among all relevant stockholders and the general public.
- **Coordination, Monitoring and Reporting:**
- **Cooperation and Assistance/Resource Mobilization:** UNMAS in Sudan mobilizes resources for targeted assistance that will have an immediate impact on the lives of mine and ERW survivors.

TABLE 21: Landmine victims disaggregated by gender and age

Year	Killed						Injured						Total
	Men	Women	Boys	Girls	Not Specified	Total	Men	Women	Boys	Girls	Not Specified	Total	
2013	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	6	16	4	0	33	35
2014	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	7	7	1	0	40	41
2015	5	0	5	0	9	19	6	2	19	3	8	38	57
2016	0	0	2	1	0	3	3	3	9	2	3	20	23
2017	1	0	5	3	2	11	9	0	21	2	0	32	43
2018	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	4	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>813</b>

The noticeable drop in the number of landmines and ERW victims registered in 2016 up to the mid of 2017, compares to the last ten years was chiefly attributed to the coordinated efforts in clearance of the mined areas and risk education.

## Data-base clean up

Sudan has continued to undertake re-survey of areas suspected to contain landmines/ERW as well as undertaking new survey in areas once a strong level of security has been achieved.

The process of the data clean-up is being conducted with the aim to better utilize and improve the quality of data and statistical information on hazardous areas. The initial data clean-up process started in January 2013; it comes in part due to preparations in migrating data from IMSMA Legacy to IMSMA New Generation (NG). The clean-up includes going through LIS and other hazards recorded in the archive as well as undertaking field verification.

Since the initiation of mine action activities in Sudan in 2002, a total of 3,223 hazardous areas measuring 2,751,746,728 sqm, total number of 288 CHA and 2,935 SHA, have been registered in the database, the calculated size of the addressed hazardous is 106,336,854 square metres, with 13,747,618 cleared, 6,544,597 TS, 33,173,342 NTS and 52,871,297 BAC.

This represents a significant difference in area. This is due to the areas addressed by organizations in the past and cancelled as no evidence of mine or ERW haven't been captured in the database as land released. It is estimated to be 2,618,279,348 square meters.

TABLE 22: Registered hazards from 2002 - 28 February 2018

Report Year	Registered Hazard					
	CHA		SHA		Total	
	No	Area	No	Area	No	Area
2002	0	0	103	815,636,378	103	815,636,378
2003	0	0	31	83,785,180	31	83,785,180
2004	7	980,890	40	71,810,086	47	72,790,976
2005	33	9,988,993	202	9,127,958	235	19,116,951
2006	9	1,712,389	369	974,160,832	378	975,873,221
2007	24	2,461,254	230	705,554,237	254	708,015,491
2008	76	5,575,929	371	22,104,207	447	27,680,136
2009	34	201,764	94	2,197,917	128	2,399,681
2010	29	1,070,609	196	26,220,388	225	27,290,997
2011	23	1,101,759	280	8,734,431	303	9,836,190
2012	5	55,748	66	1,255,804	71	1,311,552
2013	22	337,995	206	443,539	228	781,534
2014	6	268,199	78	1,635,535	84	1,903,734
2015	11	210,691	100	176,405	111	387,096
2016	2	87,186	284	1,400,567	286	1,487,753
2017	6	157,006	244	2,741,031	250	2,898,037
2018	1	16670	41	535151	42	551,821
<b>Total</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>24,227,082</b>	<b>2,935</b>	<b>2,727,519,646</b>	<b>3,223</b>	<b>2,751,746,728</b>

It is expected that the result of the data clean-up process will have no effect on the area cleared but will have effect on the cancelled area which will be incorporated into the database and this in turn will minimize the difference reflected between areas cleared and size of total hazards closed.

In the past, progress was reported based on tasks which included as many hazards as possible. But in order to avoid such confusion in the future the programme has introduced a hazard based daily reporting mechanism which will have positive impact on the accuracy of data.

In total Sudan has released 106,336,854 square meters of land through non-technical survey, technical survey and full clearance over a period of (2002 - 2018). A total of 1,699,314,251 square metres has been cancelled during survey operations, as there was no evidence of mines/ERW being found. The cancelled hazards were closed in IMSMA but it did not reflect in the IMSMA as land released. A number of other issues were also found, including:

1. Areas converted from SHAs into minefields through technical survey are counted twice. Total size of these areas sums up to 590,793,571 square metres.
2. Roads are recorded as polygons in the database since IMSMA legacy doesn't support a line feature. But the cleared roads are recorded in an add-on database and it sums up to 328,110,182 square metres.

TABLE 23: Registered hazards from 2002 - 28 February 2018

Year	Cleared Hazard						Area cleared	Area Reduced	Area Cancelled	Battle Area Released	Total Area Addressed
	CHA		SHA		Total						
	NO	Area	NO	Area	NO	Area					
2002	0	0	1	6,792,907	1	6,792,907	48,480	0	0	1,782	50,262
2003	0	0	23	71,364,000	23	71,364,000	465,882	0	0	0	465,882
2004	0	0	11	31,742,333	11	31,742,333	268,606	0	0	25,965	294,571
2005	2	9,002,664	125	123,387,957	127	132,390,621	232,538	0	0	38,873	271,411
2006	1	10,466	260	217,336,998	261	217,347,464	175,702	0	0	1,003,927	1,179,629
2007	23	298,481	131	187,426,841	154	187,725,322	670,286	0	0	18,436,141	19,106,427
2008	9	424,319	168	30,147,868	177	30,572,187	952,997	0	0	436,610	1,389,607
2009	8	716,404	293	442,182,164	301	442,898,568	1,169,215	1,195,514	0	2,343,575	4,708,304
2010	54	6,176,910	310	1,389,114,760	364	1,395,291,670	2,285,736	645,074	22,757,677	1,596,581	27,285,068
2011	77	3,477,709	353	146,659,026	430	150,136,735	1,486,533	0	154,224	20,592,219	22,232,976
2012	8	106,321	82	33,742,153	90	33,848,474	551,161	0	0	626,668	1,177,829
2013	15	517,055	230	8,749,546	245	9,266,601	774,274	1,821,301	7,784,366	945,750	11,325,691
2014	13	135,971	114	4,580,165	127	4,716,136	2,469,368	285,212	898,524	567,848	4,220,952
2015	6	300,817	98	322,785	104	623,602	423,158	0	0	1,247,319	1,670,477
2016	10	282,052	292	5,837,759	302	6,119,811	1,044,104	2,337,945	1,503,676	1,520,441	6,406,166
2017	9	358,983	237	3,949,571	246	4,308,554	707,334	259,551	74,875	2,847,998	3,889,758
2018	0	0	36	139,308	36	139,308	22,244	0	0	639,600	661,844
<b>Total</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>21,808,152</b>	<b>2,764</b>	<b>2,703,476,140</b>	<b>2,999</b>	<b>2,725,284,292</b>	<b>13,747,618</b>	<b>6,544,597</b>	<b>33,173,342</b>	<b>52,871,297</b>	<b>106,336,854</b>

A summary of the work undertaken during data clean-up is given below:

TABLE 24: Summary of data clean up

Area size	Description
1,385,709,374	Area of DAs or SHAs Cancelled not recorded in database as released
328,110,182	Hazardous Roads Surveyed, Verified or Cleared - since IMSMA legacy doesn't support line feature, km of roads are stored in an Add-on database
273,857,822	SHA (MA) and DAs converted to MF counted twice
1,987,677,378	Total area cancelled, but it is not recorded in database as land released.
84,719,031	Total areas cleared
2,072,396,409	Total area cleared plus released
2,029,368,132	Total size of area linked closed hazards in the database.
43,028,277	Difference in figures recorded in IMSMA data base – this will be rectified during data clean-up process.

## 1.21 Resources made available to support progress

The Sudanese Government has made significant contribution to mine action, as given in Section 2.6 of the narrative extension request. This includes covering all operational expenses and wages of demining staff. The total government funds allocated in years; 2014 and 2015 was US \$500,000 and US \$1,500,000, respectively. In 2016, US \$2,000,000 was spent by the Sudanese government to undertake clearance activities. This directly led to the declaration of Gadaref and Red Sea States free from landmines and ERWs, in addition to the disposal of UXO in some parts of Abu Karshola locality.

Mine action in Sudan has been well resourced from 2005 -2011 particularly since the CPA. The biggest portion of funding had come via the UN Department of Peacekeeping Operations (DPKO) which was used for mine action in support of the UNMIS peacekeeping forces. Significant amounts also were channelled by donor countries through the UN Voluntary Trust Fund for Mine Action (VTF), which covers other UNMIS/UNMAS priorities.

At the beginning of the current extension period in 2014, UNDP had the lead on UN support role to mine action program in Sudan however, UNDP re-oriented its activities away from mine action in late 2014. In December 2014 UNDP and the Sudanese Government requested the re-engagement of UNMAS in Sudan.

- In April 2016 UNMAS became the lead mine action UN actor following an assessment mission in March 2016.
- UNMAS now supports the NMAC in building institutional capacity, meeting obligations under Article 5 of the Anti-personnel Mine Ban Convention and other relevant international treaties, in addition to mobilizing resources for land release, mine risk education (MRE) and victim assistance (VA).
- The period between 2014 -2017 witnessed generous fund from government of Sudan and UNMAS to Mine Action Program Sudan. Total fund received by mine action program during the said period was 46,853,218 USD.

In addition to these international contributions, the government has significantly increased its funding for mine action. The government support is paying for the staff of the NMAC and the costs of the activities implemented by the department of projects.

In fact, there are uncountable examples which could be cited stand for the Sudan government strengthening of National Mine Action Capacity; e.g. area allocated by the government of Sudan for the

MDD Training Center and Sudan ambition that the MDD Center to become a central to serve East and Central of Africa is striking achievement besides, NMAC buildings, dramatically expanding of the clearance/demining capacity of manual teams, introducing of mechanical and MDD assets, also the support in the areas of Mine Risk Education and Victims Assistance which were further elaborated in progress section of this document, all are achievements which could not have been materialized without the continual support of the government of Sudan.

The on regular-basis presence of Sudan in the international mine action forums, observation of its commitment to Ottawa Treaty and the serious steps taken by the government through NMAC to take lead of humanitarian mine action in Sudan have shown Sudan’s seriousness and simultaneously led to the refutation of the falsified and groundless accusations labelled Sudan with the use of anti-personnel landmines and cluster-munitions in the course of the ongoing war.

### 1.22 The Government’s Annual Fund to National Mine Action Program

The Sudan government funded mine action activities over the past years, covering the operational expenses includes the physical clearance of mines and UXO from the contaminated lands besides the wages of the workers. The total government fund in the subsequent years; 2014 and 2015 was 500, 000 and 1,500,000 USD respectively. In 2016, a sum of 2, 000, 000 USD was spent by Sudan government to undertake clearance activities eventually led to the declaration of Gadaref and Red Sea States free from landmines and ERWs, in addition to the disposal of UXO in some parts of Abu Karshola locality. In 2017, the total government spends/investment in mine action activities reached 2,000,000 USD. The government support to the national mine action program is expected to continue and increase especially with lifting of sanctions and general improvement in economic performance of the country.

TABLE 25: Annual funding obtained to support mine action activities (USD)

Funding Resources	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
GoS	500,000	1,500,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2, 000,000	8,000,000
UNMAS	198,609	300,000	2,700,000	2,059,530	1,367,470	6,625,879
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>698,609</b>	<b>1,800,000</b>	<b>4,700,000</b>	<b>4,059,530</b>	<b>3,367,470</b>	<b>14,625,879</b>

### 1.23 Other Resource Mobilization (External Fund):

UNMAS-Sudan has fully undertakes its vital role in resources mobilization for Sudan mine action programme by availing the following resources; SHF, Italy, Japan, USA, UK during the current extension period (April 2014 – April 2019).

Together with UNMAS and its Mine action partners Sudan has undertaken significant efforts to attract external financing in support of its program, expanding its donors from Japan, United Kingdom, Italy, United States of America and Switzerland . For the period of the previous extension, (2013 -2017), the total funding received by the Sudan mine action program was USD \$46,853,218.

### 1.24 Methods used to identify areas containing/suspected to contain AP mines

As it was earlier indicated in this document, the nature of conflict in Sudan was such that records on hazardous areas were rarely kept and those records that do exist are often inaccurate or outdated. Furthermore, the information may come from different sources, with of course different level of capacities to properly describe the exact zone of the hazardous area. However, information on the level of anti-personnel mines and ERW contamination in Sudan has been collected through one of the following key methods:



- Accident caused by APM or ATM or UXO.
- Local population reports.
- Military records.
- Information collected by MRE teams and clearance organizations.

In addition to the detailed minefield information received from military engineers, the local communities were consulted on a case by case basis during survey operation to identify any other areas that were mined but not registered. Although a wealth of information was collected from the local community, the local communities had very limited information regarding the type and quantity of mines in each location. Nonetheless, the types of mines in some locations were identified through mine accident reports and discussions between LIS teams and local communities.

It should be noted that, unfortunately, due to security concerns, survey could not be completed in all five states suspected to be contaminated with mines. During the period that the LIS was conducted, nonetheless, the survey was completed in Blue Nile and South Kordofan but unfortunately the recent re-contamination has utterly devalued if not invalid whatever survey result that has been conducted before 2011, specifically in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States and Abyei area, where may strongly suggest the re-survey of all those new contaminated areas to establish a new concrete datum/baseline for any prospective clearance operations that may propose to be conducted in the future.

### **1.25 Methods and standards used to release known/ suspected mine areas**

In mine action, in order to process vast confirmed suspected mined areas, many of which have been identified by initial surveys that established the scope of the mine/ERW contamination challenge, a more efficient clearance method namely Land Release Process is introduced and endorsed by demining bodies to tackle the issue large suspected mined area(s).

Land Release is the process of applying all reasonable effort to identify or better define the Confirmed Hazardous Areas (CHA) and remove all suspicion of mines/ERW through non-technical survey; technical survey and clearance using evidence based and documented approach. Since the released land return back to communities as an overall goal of any mine action activity which is achieved through defining, re-defining and clearing of contaminated land, the land release process adopted by NMAC as part of Sudan NTSG's set standards and methodology to be applied when using available demining assets to release land back to communities for its intended use as efficiently and effectively as possible.

In the past decade, land release has significantly improved the lives of the people of Sudan. It has facilitated free and safe movement for local populations, IDPs, refugees, and aid workers in 1,135 communities previously affected by mines/ERW as of June 2011. In addition, it has opened land for agricultural usage and animal grazing. Furthermore, it has opened up over 37,898 kilometres of roads connecting different villages, towns and port cities and allowed commerce to flourish and has decreased the chances of mine/ERW contamination of waterways. It has also opened land for the development of homes, schools, hospitals, and businesses. According to data gathered in a lot of the areas where clearance had happened, the numbers of indirect beneficiaries were many folds more than the direct beneficiaries especially if the hazard was located geographically in places which connected different districts and villages.

In spite of fund limitation, the continuation of war in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states and the precarious security situation in Darfur, undeniable achievement in land released to the local communities has been realized during the current extension period (April 2014 – April 2019). During the current extension period Sudan has completed clearance of 13,561,117 square metres in Gadaref and Red Sea States.

The methodology used to release land relies on practitioner and NMAC to grade the minefield, Suspected Hazardous Area (SHA), and even potential hazard area which is not recorded in IMSMA data base into High Threat Area (HTA) and Low Threat Area (LTA) and subjected these areas to the same probing

process of confirming the presence and or absence of hazard, clearing it and or releasing the areas based on actual threat rather than perceived threat.

The process of releasing land from actual threat involving the use of all demining assets available to achieve the desired level of confidence that the land is free of mines/ ERW, which the Sudan mine action programme referred to as “all reasonable effort”. All reasonable effort may, at one extreme, only be the conduct of a non-technical survey which finds absolutely no evidence of mines/ERW. The commitment of additional resources in this case is unlikely to justify the expected additional information about the area. However, if the non-technical survey confirms some evidence of mines/ERW, it would be reasonable to expend more effort to gain more confidence about which areas are free of mines/ERW and which are not. In this case, “all reasonable effort” may mean that a technical survey or clearance should be conducted. “All reasonable effort” for the release of previously suspected land Suspected Hazardous Area (SHA), Confirmed Hazardous Area (CHA), Defined Hazardous Area (DHA) is reached at a point where sufficient and reliable information has been obtained to conclude, with confidence, that there is no evidence of mines/ERW. Varying levels of clearance and survey shall be conducted to reach this point.

The Sudan mine action programme’s guidance on the Land release process is carried out in accordance with IMAS 08.20, the “Land Release Process” and “Asset Deployment “ decision making tools to help visualize the land release process and to give practitioners in the field a ready reference for deploying clearance assets.

## 1.26 Methodology

The Land Release methodology adopted by Sudan NTSGs is based on the application of IMAS. The application of land release assumes a level of risk based on the verification of a threat. It recognizes that just because a hazard is reflected on the IMSMA database, the details are not necessarily accurate and that all hazards benefit from thorough application of the Land Release Process at all levels of intervention. Land release in Sudan has been based on three process; Survey; (Non-technical or Technical), clearance and cancellation.

## 1.27 Asset Deployment Decision Making Tool

The Asset Deployment Decision Making Tool is a guide on how to deploy clearance assets in high threat and low threat areas to release the land. This is the minimum requirement which should be observed and implemented on each land release site. On site where mechanical assets are deployed calibration tests or ground condition may dictate that further passes of the flail or tiller are required to achieve the required depth. Area (s) processed by mechanical asset would not consider as cleared unless further confirmation processed by manual or MDD assets.

Information gathered during the Land Release Process (LRP) will dictate the amount of work to be carried out to release the land from the actual threat or threat suspicion based on quality and sources of the information. Hazardous areas classified as the High Threat Area (HTA) full clearance of the defined mined areas would be carried out. While as Medium Threat Area (MTA), 20 – 60% of the area will be facilitated by Technical Survey. Those hazardous areas identified as Low Threat Area (LTA), 10 – 20% of the area will be processed by technical survey.

## 1.28 Land Release Process

**In the Sudan Land release process has been carried out in three methods**

1. **Non-technical Survey (NTS):-** previously known as General Mine Action Assessment (GMAA) where the surveyors went to the communities and asked people on the problem of mines and ERW they faced in specific SHA/CHA. If the surveyors received a response of no mines and ERW, they filled the form and got the people’s signature and along with their own signature they submitted the forms to the office for further verification and registration into the database. The whole purpose of NTS was to

make an in depth investigation of a new or previously recorded mine and ERW contaminated area in the database.

2. **Technical Survey (TS):-** is the intervention into a mined area with manual demining teams, machines and Mine Detection Dogs (MDDs) to confirm the presence of landmines, identify the level of contamination and type of hazard and limit the boundaries of the hazard for further clearance if required. The extent and type of technical survey depends on the information gathered during non-technical survey (NTS) in order to make sure that the information gathered is reliable enough in terms of an area being mined or mine-free.
3. **Demining/Clearance:** - is a process to release land by applying the necessary assets to rid an area from mines or ERW. The type of the applied clearance assets based on the area topography and size of the hazard for the purpose of getting the desired outcome in the quickest time and safely with the lowest cost possible. Clearance occurs in a ground confirmed to have landmines classified as high threat area (HTA). Quality of clearance is of utmost importance. Missed mines can bring a lot of problems for the mine action programme.

### **Priority-Setting for Land Release:**

Priority-setting aims to ensure that national mine action delivers the most value for money. Given that Sudan mines/ERW contamination problem will take time to the final resettlement. Priority setting involves:

- Deciding what task should receive priority.
- Ensure that adequate resources are allocated to the selected priorities.

When deciding which piece of land should be surveyed and/or cleared first, priority setting in mine action is important developmental implications. Sudan priority-setting system includes whether:

- Land will be used by community for community development.
- Land ownership is already sorted out.
- Targeted beneficiaries are clearly identified based on needs.
- A developmental agency will assist the land beneficiaries in making productive use of the released land.
- Potential for land conflict is low.

National prioritization is concerned with low resources will be allocated among geographical areas, programme components, operators, etc. Whereas local prioritization is the determinant of which specific tasks to complete first, once the resources are allocated at the national level. If a national mine action programme deliver value-for-money, the process and procedures put in place for national and local prioritization must be interlinked and coordinated. Therefore, prioritization must be viewed as a system of inter-connected decisions across different levels.

For a more detailed and comprehensive understanding of prioritization in Sudan we have an exercise, in which a priority form is distributed to stakeholders i.e. (Government's ministries, authorities and institutions, local and international organizations, and UN agencies). Then a workshop on how these forms to be filled is held at NMAC sub-office levels, the time is given to complete and submit these forms and sent to NMAC HQ for data analysis and then locations are identified and rated according to what received from stakeholders.



PHOTO 7: NMAC personnel discuss with OCHA humanitarian priorities for Mine/ERW clearance in South Kordofan at OCHA office

The National priority-setting system invariably includes actors, resources, information, a structure, process (i.e., where, when, by whom, and how policies and decisions are made). A good priority-setting system must be informed by the following:

- 1- Considerations for the interests of relevant actors to make the right decisions.
- 2- High quality relevant and complete data.
- 3- Regular analysis of the data to guide decision-makers.

Strategic, operational and task requirements are the three necessary levels of prioritization. Strategic priorities should be established at the headquarters of the national mine action programme and should take into account the preferences of all stakeholders; however, allocations must also be in line with national development priorities. Therefore, strategic priorities should be set according to broader political, economic and social priorities in the country as a whole. Operational priorities should be determined by the relevant programme manager, who should identify priorities using relevant data from analysis of non-technical or technical surveys and the expressed appreciations of at-risk communities and landmine victims.

Mine action officials need to be aware that a country's mine action programme will encounter significant changes as it transitions from conflict to post-conflict; reconstruction phase and eventually development. As the political, economic and social environment evolves people's needs change and priorities need to be modified accordingly.

All suspected hazardous areas are surveyed through non-technical survey and/or technical survey and either cancelled or prioritized;

All high priority hazardous areas are released through technical survey and/or clearance in accordance with national and international standards by 2023.

Small-scale mine clearance activity may also be conducted where there is an immediate threat of injury or loss of life in support of humanitarian aid work.

### **1.29 Information gathering**

Information gathered during the Land Release Process (LRP) will dictate the amount of work to be carried out to release the land from the actual threat or threat suspicion based on quality and sources of the information. Hazardous areas classified as the High Threat Area (HTA) full clearance of the defined mined areas would be carried out. While as Medium Threat Area (MTA), 20 – 60% of the area will be facilitated by Technical Survey. Those hazardous areas identified as Low Threat Area (LTA), 10 – 20% of the area will be processed by technical survey.

Areas proved to be free from mines / ERW will be released only through the application of Non-Technical Survey based on information available and the technical opinions of technical staff, NMAC and communities' representatives.

All documentation related to the application of the LRP will be compiled as per the Sudan Mine Action Standards and Guidelines (NTSG's) and submitted to NMAC as part of the Land Release site documentation.

### **1.30 Methods and standards of controlling and assuring quality**

The Sudan Quality Management System for mine action became fully operational in 2006. It has been basically charted to serve two key objectives; Firstly, to ensure that an appropriate, suitable and sufficient Quality Assurance (QA) monitoring process, that consists of regular monitoring visits and auditable processes at all stages of operations is implemented. Secondly, to confirm that mine action organizations are applying their accredited management processes and operational procedures in a manner that will result in the safe, effective and efficient clearance of land. Other measures are to provide confidence that quality requirements have been met and that cleared land, whether fully cleared or not, is safe to use. The productivity and quality of mine action operations at organizational level; improve mine action performance; continually improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the mine action activities.

In order to realize the overall goal of NMAC Mine Action Quality Management System and these set of objectives, NMAC quality management department shoulder the implementation of the following three basic tasks:

1. Operational Accreditation.
2. Quality Assurance Monitoring.
3. Post-Clearance Monitoring (Quality Control) inspections and (Handover of the site) Procedures.

**Operational Accreditation:** NMAC Operational Accreditation procedures are designed to ensure that a mine action organization is appropriately established, staffed, equipped, and has the required systems, procedures and support structures in place before it is permitted to do any work. Control of activity in mine action in Sudan is achieved through the Operational Accreditation, license and monitoring of mine action organizations whether they are national or international before and during their work. It also involves the inspection of organization's documentations (Desk Accreditation), qualifications of proposed staff, Standard Operations Procedures (SOPs), List of equipment, financial status statement. After Operational Accreditation is granted (see Sudan SOP Chapter 21), monitoring is conducted by Quality Assurance staff.

**Quality Assurance Monitoring:** Quality Assurance monitoring is the observation, inspection or assessment of worksites, facilities, equipment, activities, processes, procedures and documentation to confirm that a mine action organization is working in accordance with its Operational Accreditation. Quality Assurance monitors may visit worksites at any time. In some circumstances, they may be based at the worksite and provide continuous monitoring. The purpose of Quality Assurance monitoring is to confirm that demining organizations are applying their approved management processes and operating procedures in a way that results in the safe, effective and efficient release of land. Quality Assurance monitoring serves the interests of the mine action organizations because it helps them to identify problems and to achieve the required results efficiently. The QA monitors are not policemen, they are there actually to help the mine action organization fulfil its aims.

**Post-clearance Inspection:** Post-clearance inspection occurs after a demining organization has completed the demining of the ground in question. The processed ground may have been cleared, or may have been processed in a manner that gives confidence that full clearance procedures are not necessary. The post-clearance inspection is intended to determine whether the land has been processed in the way that was intended, and to confirm whether the selected procedures were appropriate. Inspection of the cleared land will be carried out before it released and handed over to the national authority or local community.

**Post-handover Impact Assessment:** After a cleared land handed over to the use of local community, jointly NMAC and the demining organization carry out post-handover impact assessment with the aim to verify whether the clearance met the intended purposes and stand on how the land being usefully utilized by the locals and whether there any suspicious hazard that could be left behind.

**NMAC Quality Management Structure:** The Sudan Quality Management System has been structured with three regionally based QM teams based in Kassala, Damazin, and Kadugli in addition to the HQ team based in Khartoum. Each regional QM team made up of (1-2 persons). Regional QA teams have been delegated the responsibility of monitoring the quality of all humanitarian demining operations in their areas of responsibility. The QM monitoring task in Darfur is mostly being undertaken by UNAMID-Ordnance Disposal Office but NMAC QA individuals participate in teams' accreditation.

**Challenges and Gaps in NMAC Quality Management Capacity:** Sound technical and managerial skills are required to maintain a robust QMS for NMAC. Data collection, retrieval and analyses systems need to be maintained and upgraded. Policies, objectives and processes have to be defined and continually improved. Organizations and programmes have to commit financial resources to keep up a QMS effectively and efficiency functioning. Further, institutional experience and memory are helpful in the long-term. Plan for Promotion and Improvement of NMAC QMS suggests the following actions:

- Enhance and keep updated of quality management capacity through participation in international training workshops.
- Enhance Quality management capacity through participation in cross-border events will strengthen the local capacity and add confidence.
- Stress will be placed in external Quality Assurance / Quality Control of Land Release activities to ensure the overall quality and safety of mine action work.
- Strengthen the Non-technical and Technical survey capacities of NMAC QA staff to ensure safe, proper, and timely implementation of demining operations, QA and QC activities in the field.
- **More Field Exposure:** Schedule regular field monitoring plan by NMAC QA staff to ensure the quality observation in the implementation of mine action related activities.  
Regular QA and QC operations will enable implementation of control and monitoring of humanitarian demining operations in line with SOP with further acceptance and handover of the release areas.

### 1.31 Efforts to ensure the effective inclusion of civilians from mined areas

### 1.32 Marking and Fencing

Initially, warning signs (markings) were placed by people in a community affected by mines and ERW at the moment the threat was detected to warn people to avoid the contaminated areas. Sometimes it is done jointly with the usher of MRE team operating in the area. But in most hazardous areas official markings have been undertaken by the demining team(s) either during the non-technical survey or technical survey. In non-vegetation areas stones have been painted red to show the contaminated area and in places where clearance has been done red and white coloured stones have been used to show the cleared areas and the sites which is still contaminated. In areas with vegetation red materials on sticks or red metallic triangles have been used to indicate the dangerous sites. MRE teams when visit areas with suspected hazards also mark areas so people to be aware of the danger and avoid it.

### 1.33 Mine Risk Education (MRE)

Solid steps towards MRE Program sustainability solidified as MRE curriculum has been integrated into the Ministry of Education's syllabus. Total of 5,000,000 MRE School Books were produced as part of School materials in basic and secondary schools. Moreover, Training of Trainers (ToTs) has been carried out for 261 school teacher. Those trainers will be the core for delivering training for 2,400 school teacher during December 2012.



### 1.34 Circumstances that impeded compliance during the extension period

The major challenges encountered Sudan's mine action program in the past period and greatly slacken its progression could be highlighted in the following areas:

- A. Inadequate funding for mine action program during the current extension period. It rendered Sudan powerless of being unable to access funds from multilateral momentary institutions while Sudan has numerous pressing commitments to meet with the little or insufficient resources. The scarcity of funding has also affected training and rehabilitation programs pre-considered to enhance the capacity of the national staff to catch up with evolution in mine action and effectively respond to the mine action challenging environment. Such instable financial situation creates critical deficit in its budget allocated to meet its mine action obligations with regard to Ottawa Treaty in the current period of extension. However, Sudan has limited financial support received from the international community through UNMAS. But short funding cycles destabilize and challenge NMACs ability to plan for long term clearance efforts both in terms of its work plan setting or estimation of period required for extension.
- B. The renewal of armed conflict in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States in the mid of 2011 resulted in security uncertainty. The security environment complicated the scene for proposed mine action interventions.
- C. The periodic maintenance and rehabilitation of old mine sweepers, mechanical assets and vehicles cannot be undertaken due to unavailable budget. In addition to inadequate tools and equipment Sudan's demining capacity cannot be fully utilized towards achieving the set of goals. It worthwhile to mention that Sudan's currently use vehicles and equipment received from the UNMAO in July 2011 while quitting Sudan. All detectors (mine lab F3), vehicles and clearance machines are now outdated and need to be replaced. These reverse factors implicitly dictate that the national demining capacity did not able to operate at their full capacity due to the above mentioned shortage of equipment and logistical resources.
- D. Climatic factors and atmospheric conditions such as heavy rains and hot temperature cannot be ignored as a decisive factor determining the pace of progress in the field. Three months out of the year mine action comes to a halt because of heavy rains in most parts of Sudan. Lack of paved roads and other infrastructures make it impossible for the teams to safely carry out their field operations and reach hazardous areas during the rainy season. The soaring temperature in some other parts to unbearable extend also contribute to the climatic challenges and affect the productivity rate of both human, machines and Mine Detection Dogs. From the fore-mentioned, wordless, it is not only about the availability of fund but also and most importantly the timing this fund made available.

Changes in the security situation and how these changes positively or negatively affect implementation;

Politically, Sudan has achieved wide-strides in terms of domestic political reconciliation through the mechanism of the National Dialogue Conference (NDC) which sought an open and equitable platform for ideas and encourages debate, bringing the argument of all sides to the fore. The NDC finally sought a peaceful and diplomatic-based approach settlement to the contentious issues and various incompatible political views. The government has entered and engaged into no preconditioned dialogue with the major political powers including the participation of armed opposition groups and movements. The potential shift creates opportunities for new political arrangements, possibly including drafting of a new constitution. There's an imperative to end the conflicts in Sudan's west and south. By virtue of these continued dialogues, a national reconciliation government was formed and currently assumes the office in Sudan for the first time since its independence. In spite of thorny political issues that lie ahead, our country is now aspiring to new term with ultimate goal to achieve sustainable peace, institute basic rights and to undertake its important role on neighbouring, regional and international levels.

Security and access to the some of the contaminated areas are major concerns especially in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States. For Darfur it is ERW which is of major concern. In most part of 2012, it was not possible to visit all the hazard areas in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states. In Blue Nile only areas under government control was possible to visit and carry out mine clearance. Having said that, MRE and VA projects were carried out in the mentioned states since the people involved in those activities came from the same area.



In the Eastern States in Kassala, Gadaref and Red Sea, the situation from access and security points of view was different compared to the south. Mine clearance was possible to be carried out but remoteness of the areas, metallic nature of the soil in some areas and three months of rainy season added with shortage of fund for deploying more demining teams in more areas, slowed down the clearance process

### **1.35 Humanitarian, economic, social and environmental implications**

Sudan's contamination with mines and explosive remnants of war stemmed from decades-long conflict since 1955. More than twenty years of civil war, during which mines and other explosive weapons were excessively used by all parties of the conflicts has brought about wide-spread contamination that has since claimed thousands of victims.

The situation was further exacerbated by the break out of conflict in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states and Abyei region which have caused unknown amount of new contamination. The problem is perceived as grievous threat against human life and one of the most damaging factors for the economy. The impact of Landmines and Explosive Remnants of War nearly touches every walk of life of every Sudanese in a way or another.

**Post-war recovery phase** effected by the presence of Landmines and ERW in Sudan threaten civilians and impede economic development and recovery. Contaminated land reduces agricultural activity and productivity and thereby the sustainable livelihoods of rural communities. Landmines on key logistical routes continues to hamper safe and free movement, trade and humanitarian interventions, and endanger the lives of local communities, internally displaced persons (IDP's), refugees, and staff of aid community. The presence and/or perceived threat of landmines/ERW prevents and delay IDPs and refugees from returning to their hometowns, and as a result, constrain recovery, reconstruction and development efforts in mine/ERW and war affected areas.

**Construction works** hampered by mines and ERW which remain as obstacles to safe movement for local populations, aid workers, and investors. Without free and safe movement, it becomes difficult to make roads, hospitals, schools and businesses that would have otherwise benefited the growth and development of Sudan.

**The increasing number of casualties**, most of whom are men, has left many families without the head of household and main source of income for these families. Moreover, due to the critical gap in funding for victim assistance, many survivors are unable to develop the skills and tools necessary for their re-integration into society and their subsequent generation of income for themselves and their families. As a result, many communities have to face the detrimental economic and psychosocial consequences of mine or ERW related accidents without the capacity to address these needs.

#### **Humanitarian, Political and Economic Impact of Landmines and ERW Contamination:**

Landmines bring about death and causality to civilians especially the children as well as wilds. Beside the direct effects on life, it imposes a heavy economic burden on the accident survivors and their relatives. Comparably, the cost of mine clearance in an average is less than to provide an artificial limb to a survivor from mine or ERW accident. The existence of landmines and ERW caused extreme socio-economic and environmental hardships to the affected population. Anti-personnel landmines are considered one of the most significant factors to an ailing economy and barrier to social development in Sudan throughout the decades Sudan was in war. The economic impact of landmines can be seen as one of the determinant factors of economic security as it prevents people in the affected areas from working, and victims with disabilities face difficulties in finding employment and remain dependent. Thus it prevents sustainable development, poses threat to human security are major obstacles to peace.

#### **Socio-economic Impact of Landmines/ERW Contamination:**

Landmines in Sudan prevent access to the lands for agriculture, movement of people, and sets blockages to forests and water resources essential for productive purposes. The affected lands become virtually unusable for agriculture, transportation and socio-economic development. Though the war itself was the main contributor to the internal displacement of people, landmines added more fuel to the problem and

worsen their situation even further. Mines also cause the lack or low level of basic development infrastructure in those affected areas namely Blue Nile and South Kordofan States.

### **Environmental Implications of Landmines and ERW Contamination:**

While focusing on socio-economic impact caused by the contamination of anti-personnel landmines, we ought not to lose the sight of its environmental implications. It caused land and soil degradation, loss of biodiversity, and decreased agricultural patches and then affected the overall net productivity. Landmines caused severe poverty and underdevelopment as it blocked access to and limited most of the socio-economic activities and sustainable livelihoods of the rural communities. Developmentally, they impede the ability of local communities to recover fully from conflicts after the end of war.



PHOTO 8: Cultivating in the vicinity of AP Minefield, South Kordofan State

The environmental impact of mine clearance/mine destruction may include erosion of soil due to the use of mine clearance machines/mechanical equipment to cut vegetation/trees or pollution of water and soil due to lubricants/fuel used for operating these machines, burning of vegetation to pave the way for mine clearance and destruction of stockpiled mines by open detonation techniques. Another potential environmental impact/risk of mine clearance could be unintentional damage to unknown archaeological, heritage and cultural sites due to use of mechanical equipment for mine clearance/verification.

The mine/UXO clearance/verification operations normally do not pose any serious damage or risk to the environment. However, to mitigate all these environmental impacts/risk, all mine clearance/verification operations and MRE activities are undertaken in compliance with the approved International Mine Action Standards (IMAS). In addition, specialized Standing operating Procedures (SOPs) are developed for specific circumstance and are followed by demining personnel to preserve the environment.

### **AP Contamination Impact on Population:**

Other significant medical, psychosocial, political and economic impacts of landmines are many. For example, mines typically maim or kill the most productive members of a community's workforce, and prevent refugees and internally displaced persons from returning to their homes of origin after the cessation of hostilities. As such, anti-personnel landmines prevent return of normal and productive life to rural areas and it also maintained economic, social and political pressures on urban areas. As the anti-personnel landmines disproportionately affect the poor and undeveloped countries, poor rural inhabitants are often trying to grow crops on lands that are known or suspected to be landmine affected.




PHOTO 9: Animals grazing exactly at the edge of anti-personnel minefield, South Kordofan State

The socio-economic impact of mines was clearly represented by the blockages faced by approximately 4,000,000 refugees and IDPs returning to their pre-war homes. Safely returning these refugees to their homes is a national priority of the government. The LIS found that landmines block people’s access to socio-economic resources in different ways in each different state. However, four different types of blockages are the leading blockage among affected states including roads, rain-fed land, housing and fixed pasture land as indicated in the table and figure below.

TABLE 26: Socio-economic blockages caused by anti-personnel mines

State	Total SHA s	Rain-fed cropland	Housing	Roads & Paths	Non-agricultural land	Fixed pasture	Migratory pasture	Drinking water	Non-drinking water	Other infrastructure	Irrigated cropland
Kassala	56	8	6	23	8	25	20	4	3	0	0
South Kordofan	98	38	48	30	12	2	37	12	1	1	0
Blue Nile	61	14	9	34	8	11	0	6	2	0	0
Gadaref	4	1	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Red Sea	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Sennar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FIGURE 6: Socio-Economic blockage by type



### 1.36 Nature and extent of remaining challenge: quantitative aspects

Although significant progress has been made in the past years, the following contamination with AP mines remains to be addressed. This challenge consists of a total of 98 hazardous areas, including 53 CHA measuring 2,418,930 square metres and 45 SHAs measuring 16,866,480 square metres, for an area measuring 19,285,410 square metres. The remaining AP contamination is distributed as follows:

TABLE 27: AP Contamination

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-personnel mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-personnel mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	4	219,663	4	835,400	8	1,055,063
S. Kordofan	49	2,199,267	35	15,998,689	84	18,197,956
W. Kordofan	0	0	3	21,991	3	21,991
Kassala	0	0	3	10,400	3	10,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2,418,930</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>16,866,480</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>19,285,410</b>

In spite of the formidable challenges represented during the 2<sup>nd</sup> period of extension and the continuation of war in Blue Nile and South Kordofan, the Sudan mine action programme succeeded in reducing the total number of already known hazards, as registered in the national database, by 93%.

TABLE 28: AT Contamination

State	Number of areas known to contain anti-Tank mines	Amount of area known to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain anti-Tank mines (square metres)
Blue Nile	1	3	3	106,000	4	106,003
S. Kordofan	3	3,303,295	20	1,580,753	23	4,884,048
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3,303,298</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1,686,753</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4,990,051</b>

TABLE 29: ERW remaining Level of Contamination, 28 February 2018

State	Number of areas known to contain UXO	Amount of area known to contain UXO (square metres)	Number of areas suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total number of areas known or suspected to contain UXO	Total amount of area known or suspected to contain UXO (square metres)
Blue Nile	5	5,555	0	0	5	5,555

South Kordofan	17	117,857	3	140,400	20	258,257
West Kordofan	2	2	0	0	2	2
Kassala	7	0	0	0	7	0
Central Darfur	0	17,010	0	0	6	17,010
Eastern Darfur	17	1,906,142	0	0	17	1,906,142
Northern Darfur	12	4	0	0	12	4
Southern Darfur	7	1	0	0	7	1
Western Darfur	23	4	0	0	23	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>2,046,575</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>140,400</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>2,186,975</b>

### 1.37 Nature and extent of remaining article 5 challenge: qualitative aspects

Security and access to some of the contaminated areas are major concerns especially in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States. During part of 2012, it was not possible to visit all the hazard areas in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states. In Blue Nile only areas under government control were possible to visit and carry out mine clearance. Having said that, MRE and VA projects were carried out in the mentioned states since the people involved in those activities came from the same area.

In the Eastern States in Kassala, Gadaref and Red Sea, the situation from access and security points of view was different compared to the south. Mine clearance was possible to be carried out but remoteness of the areas, metallic nature of the soil in some areas and three months of rainy season added with shortage of funds for deploying more demining teams in more areas, slowed down the clearance process. For Darfur it is ERW which is of major concern.

According to IMSMA database there is 19,285,410 square metres of land contaminated with AP mines, 4,990,051 square metres with AT mines and 2,186,975 square metres contaminated with ERW. These hazards not only pose as huge threats to people in the area, but also stop them from using their land productively and limit their freedom of movement. South Kordofan is registered with the highest number of hazards and most victims as a result of mines/ERW contaminations.

An ambitious plan has been envisioned and worked out for the next requested four years extension period in the course of Sudan to fulfil its obligations towards Ottawa Treaty. In the next extension period Sudan intends to double efforts aiming to clear all hazards registered in the data-base, conduct surveys of the suspected hazardous areas to determine the hazardous areas and clear the confirmed ones. Sudan will also mass and mobilize more domestic resources and reinforce coordination with donors to facilitate the required fiscal and technical back up. In the same vein, Sudan will work to create an incentive environment for mine action in Sudan.

We all converge on acknowledging the fact that the predominant work circumstance cannot be termed an optimal one as we have been beset with challenges an affair that requires us to orchestrate our efforts to overcome the hurdles and difficulties lie ahead for the sake to build better and a prosperous life to our people and enabling them to make their way across the future.

### 1.38 Amount of time requested and a rationale for this amount of time

The Government of Sudan is requesting a four year extension (until 1 April, 2023) of its Article 5 deadline to address all known and suspected areas contaminated by mines and ERW in Blue Nile, South Kordofan and West Kordofan States.

With the passage of time and positive change in security and access for the mine clearance teams in South Kordofan and Blue Nile, the humanitarian demining operations will resume fully, based on availability of sufficient funds. Nonetheless, a plan is already in place to carry out necessary survey and mine clearance

activities in those states should the security permit. The National Mine Action Centre of Sudan is insuring that this capacity is in place.

### 1.39 Detailed work plan for the period of the requested extension

The main challenge Sudan faces in order to comply with its Article 5 obligations is the survey and clearance of the known 224 remaining areas ( 53 CHAs & 171 SHAs) contain mines and ERW measuring a total of 26,462,436 square metres.

South Kordofan State with total area of **18,197,956** square metres

Blue Nile State with total area of **1,055,063** square metres

#### Article 5 Implementation Timeline

TABLE 30: Annual clearance during the extension period (2018-2023)

Year	SHA	CHA	SHA	CHA	Total
2018	80	3	3,990,879	16,865	4,203,462
2019	54	3	13,241,088	30,456	13,271,544
2020	16	2	5,480,554	12,702	5,493,256
2021	4	16	757,040	404,991	1,162,031
2022	13	7	382,007	789,454	1,171,461
2023	4	22	191,938	968,744	1,160,682
<b>Total</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>24,043,506</b>	<b>2,223,212</b>	<b>26,462,436</b>

As described above, 99% of the known affected areas are located in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states which is considered as partially insecure areas for humanitarian demining operations due to the conflict which has been ongoing since June 2011. Nevertheless, Sudan has approved a National Mine Action Plan 2013-2019 (1 March 2013 – 31 March 2019) in order to tackle the problem as the access situation permit. With the capacity of 4xMTTs in areas need to be surveyed or resurveyed and intends to complete the survey operation in South Kordofan and Blue Nile within six months from the time survey operation can be commenced. According to the situation on the ground Sudan will provide updates on work plan every year to Committee on Article 5. Since the work plan is flexible Sudan will deal with residual contamination accordingly.

A contingency plan based on lack of access, re-deployment of assets, for the period 2018-2019 and general work plan for the period 2019-2023. If full access granted to South Kordofan and Blue Nile, then a detailed work plan for 2019-2023 based on results of land release activities. Based on access to South Kordofan and Blue Nile, Sudan will provide updated work plan 2019-2023, with annual updates provided to Committee on Article 5.

### 1.40 Goals of the MYWP 2017-2019 & 2019-2023

The National Mine Action Operations Multi-year Work Plan (MYWP) is designed for a period of four years (March 2019 – March 2023). The operational plan is designed in consideration to the overall security situation in Sudan, number of Mine Action Agencies with survey/clearance capacity, number and type of Mine Action assets available to implement cancellation and land release and expected funding.

The plan includes more detail in regards to operations implementation in all the regions contaminated by mines and ERW. As the situation permits the work will continue on other parts South Kordofan, West Kordofan and of Blue Nile as well.

In General, in the next four years, non-technical survey, technical survey and clearance operations will be conducted mostly in of Blue Nile, West Kordofan and South Kordofan states. As the security permit



technical survey and clearance will be considered for South Kordofan and the rest of Blue Nile as well. There is a need to conduct General survey operation and Landmine Impact Assessment on the previously recorded hazards in IMSMA data base in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states.

The following includes further details in regards to each planned activities in each state and is complemented by the annexed grant charts highlighting the activities that will take place.

- Ensure coordination of the demining programme through monitoring, quality control and quality assurance, and information management, advocacy and resource mobilization.
- Conduct survey to determine more clearly the extent of the remaining challenge in SHAs and carry out subsequent necessary clearance.
- Clear all known CHAs, conduct survey and clear all new SHAs.
- Consolidate mechanisms to conduct effectively all activities aimed at prevention of mine and ERW accidents in the affected communities, and update the country's data base on mine/ERW victims.
- Consolidate the mainstreaming of mine action in the social and economic plan (PES) and ensure the effectiveness of budgeting by all key sectors of development from the provincial to district level.
- Ensure sustainability of the national capacity to deal with residual issue of landmines and ERW.

The Sudan mine action programme conducted a Landmine Impact Survey (LIS) during 2007 – 2009. However, since after the completion of LIS, additional information regarding Mines and ERW contamination has been collected through General Mine Action Assessment (GMAA) which is a non-technical survey activity. Sudan Mine Action programme plans to continue implementing GMAA in areas need to be surveyed or resurveyed and will complete GMAA operation in South Kordofan and Blue Nile within six months from the time survey operation can be commenced.

#### 1.41 Demining Capacity: Operational distribution

In 2013, three national entities, FPDO, JASMAR and NUMAD delivered quality results. To ensure quality outputs, NMAC conducted frequent QA visits to the field monitored by UNMAS Sudan technical advisor.

At the time of writing, there are only two international contractors, AAR Japan which is implementing MRE & VA in Kassala State and Dynasafe which is deployed and focused on ordnance disposal operation activities in Darfur.

Since June 2011 the Sudan Mine Action programme was facing challenges in accessing most Mines/ERW contaminated areas in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states. However, in the year 2013 limited survey and clearance operations took place in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states.

With all above efforts and plans, it is expected that the gap created due to the departure of INGOs will be filled and level of quality and productivity will be maintained. In addition to this Sudan welcomes any interested International Mine Action NGOs to deploy its assets to Sudan and assist Sudan in meeting its Article 5 obligations.

As mentioned above, Dynasafe is currently operating in Darfur where their main task is to support UNAMID and to conduct EOD tasks in Darfur. The Mine Action organization assets distributed is reflected in the following table:

TABLE 31: Operator deployment by location and year

Operators/Years	2017	2018	2019	2019 – 2023
<b>NUMAD</b>	Blue Nile Kassala	South Kordofan Blue Nile Kassala	South Kordofan Blue Nile	South Kordofan West Kordofan Blue Nile
<b>JASMAR</b>	Kassala Blue Nile	South Kordofan Blue Nile Kassala	South Kordofan Blue Nile	South Kordofan Blue Nile



<b>FPDO</b>	South Kordofan	South Kordofan	South Kordofan	South Kordofan West Kordofan
<b>DYNASAFE</b>	Darfur	Darfur	Darfur	Darfur

This distribution based on the required demining capacities to be fully operating and funded during the extension period. In total following assets will be deployed:

- Two mechanical teams (MECH).
- Seven manual clearance teams (MCT, 8 deminers each).
- Six multi-tasking teams (MTT, 4 deminers each).
- Three mine detection dog teams (MDD, 3 dogs each).

#### 1.42 Work Plan for the Extension Period (2019-2023)

The Sudan Mine Action Programme plans to conduct non-technical survey in areas requiring new survey or re-surveyed. SMAP intends to complete the survey operation in South Kordofan and Blue Nile within six months from the time survey operation can be commenced, given a moderate amount of newly identified hazards and improved security situation.

In this way, Sudan will inform States parties of its progress in survey. Once survey has been completed Sudan will submit to States Parties an updated list of suspect and hazardous areas as well as a detailed work plan to address these.

TABLE 32: Land release milestones by year

	Hazards		Area to be addressed	
	SHA	CHA	Cancelled through non-technical survey (Sqm)	Released through technical survey/clearance (Sqm)
2017-18	80	3	3,783,116	420,346
2018-19	54	3	11,944,390	1,327,154
2019-20	16	2	4,943,930	549,326
2020-21	4	16	1,045,828	116,203
2021-22	13	7	1,054,315	117,146
2022-23	4	22	1,044,614	116,068
<b>Total</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>23,816,192</b>	<b>2,646,244</b>

#### 2017-2018:

In the course of the operational season; 2017 - 2018, survey and clearance operations have been taken place in both South Kordofan and Blue Nile States. These operations resulted in the registration of 01 x CHA measuring 16,670 square meters and 37 x SHA measuring 2,830,824 square meters coequal to overall total of 38 hazardous areas with 2,847,494 square meters. A total of 284,182 out of 2,847,494 was cleared. With different clearance methods i.e. 67,216 (cleared), 10,000 (TS), 64,875 (NTS) and 2,314,006 (BAC).

**2018:** Operations will focus on the remaining hazards within the Blue Nile state where 10 areas will be

cleared and released for the local communities, infrastructure and development projects.

- JASMAR and NUMAD will continue operations in Blue Nile state to clear 10 areas.
- FPDO and NUMAD will continue operations in South Kordofan state.

**2019:** The three operators will continue their demining operations in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states.

- Mine clearance tasks will be conducted in Blue Nile and South Kordofan. In addition, all new identified hazard areas are updated into the MYWP.
- Parts of Blue Nile and South Kordofan States where security situation allows clearance activities will be cleared along with any new areas reported during the implementation of the MYWP.
- Meanwhile, Emergence demining operations will be carried out to open secure access for the humanitarian assistance for the affected communities.

**2019-2023:** At this stage it is hoped that the situation in Southern Kordofan and Blue Nile states get better and all contaminated areas are identified. This will allow Sudan to prepare its work plan and time frame to address the remaining threat in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states and meet the deadline of Article 5 obligations under Ottawa Treaty.

### **1.43 Operation plan by State:**

Operations multiyear work plan 2019 – 2023 (Annex 1) includes the type of assets and required duration to address the total remaining hazards in, Blue Nile, South and West Kordofan States.

Based on historical information recorded in IMSMA, 50% – 90% of suspect hazard areas are expected to be cancelled released through non-technical survey. While technical survey/clearance is considered for remaining confirmed hazardous areas. In addition, the plan includes relevant approach for SHAs depending on whether the hazard is a suspected minefield, BAC or spot task. If the hazard is suspected Minefield, technical survey and full clearance methodology is applied, BAC operation for battle areas and EOD operation for spot task is considered.

Survey and clearance rates used in the operational planning are based on historical averages of previous demining season's statistics/clearance rates and the percentage of cancellation applied on SHAs. In addition to this, consideration has been given to the type of land and metal contamination as well as other specific qualitative aspects in each location while considering these clearance rates. In this regard, what follows are specific operational plans for land release in the following States; Blue Nile, South Kordofan, and West Kordofan.

Blue Nile State




FIGURE 7: Remaining hazards, Blue Nile, Source: LIS Data



Photo 10: Manual clearance activity in Bule Nile

In Blue Nile state in total there are three localities Al Roseires, Bau, and Kurmuk, affected by Mines and ERW. Contamination includes 8 known or suspected hazardous areas measuring a total of 1,055,063 square metres. as given in the table below.

Table 33: WORK PLAN BLUE NILE, BY LOCALITY AND YEAR

Locality	No of SHA	No of CHA	Total No of SHA / CHA	Size of SHA	Size of CHA	Total Size	Organisation deployed	Assets Deployed	Total Area to be addressed	Year
Bau	2	1	3	785,400	1,374	786,774	JASMAR/ NUMAD	1 MCT, 2 MTT	786,774	2017- 2018
Al Roseires	0	1	1	0	8,394	8,394	JASMAR	1 MCT	8,394	2017- 2018
Kurmuk	2	2	4	50,000	209,895	259,895	JASMAR/ NUMAD	5 teams	259,895	2018- 2020
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>835,400</b>	<b>219,663</b>	<b>1,055,063</b>			<b>1,005,063</b>	

In Bau locality there are **2** suspected hazardous areas and **1** confirmed hazardous area, measuring a total area of 786,774 square metres.

- 1 x MCT and 2 x MTTs of JASMAR are deployed to Bau locality to deal with the hazards during the period 15<sup>st</sup> October – 15<sup>th</sup> March 2018.

In Al Roseires locality there is **1** confirmed hazardous area measuring 8,394 square metres.

- 1 x MCT of JASMAR will be deployed to Al Roseires locality to deal with the hazard during the period 15<sup>st</sup> October – 15<sup>th</sup> March 2018.

In Kurmuk locality there are **13** hazard areas that includes **4** hazardous areas, including **2** SHA and **2** CHA, measuring 259,895. Operations in Kurmuk are limited to the Government controlled areas. However, the operations in Kurmuk locality will require five teams per year to address the remaining contamination.

- Once the security situation calms down, 2 x survey teams will be deployed to Kurmuk to conduct General survey operations and determine the level of accurate contamination. Based on the result of General survey operations, a detailed operations plan will be amended and implemented accordingly. This will form the basis of a revised survey plan that will be shared with States Parties.

## South Kordofan State




FIGURE 8: Remaining hazards, South Kordofan, Source: LIS DATA



Photo 11: MDD team in action in South Kordofan

South Kordofan state which is the highest Mines/ERW contaminated state, with the 124 hazard areas remaining for clearance operations. During the period June 2011- October 2013 there were no demining operations conducted in this state. Additionally, it is expected that new hazard areas might be discovered as a result of the fighting that has been ongoing since 2011. Therefore, re-assessment activities shall be conducted to provide sufficient information regarding the real contamination. This will support the planning for the clearance operations on the future.

TABLE 34: Work Plan South Kordofan, by locality and year

Locality	SHA	CHA	Total No of SHA / CHA	Size of SHA	Size of CHA	Total Size	Organisation deployed	Assets Deployed	Total Area to be addressed	Year
Abu Jeebeha	2	0	2	80,001	0	80,001	JASMAR/NU MAD	MCT/MTT	80,001	2017-2018
Dalang	24	22	46	4,038,583	968,744	5,007,327	JASMAR/NU MAD/FPDO	MCT/MTT/MECH/MD D	5,007,327	2018-2023
Kadugli	27	48	75	16,926,609	1,230,523	18,157,132	JASMAR/NU MAD/FPDO	MCT/MTT/MECH/MD D	18,157,132	2018-2023
Rashad	2	0	2	800	0	800	JASMAR/NU MAD/FPDO	MCT/MTT	800	2017-2018
Talodi	2	0	2	95,000	0	95,000	JASMAR/NU MAD/FPDO	MCT/MTT	95,000	2018-2023
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>21,140,993</b>	<b>2,199,267</b>	<b>23,340,260</b>			<b>23,340,260</b>	

West Kordofan State




Figure 9: Remaining hazards, West Kordofan State, Source: LIS Data

In West Kordofan state, there are 3 AP hazard areas remaining for clearance operations. Deployment of assets to Abyei locality during the period (2019-2023) that depends on the security situation where further survey will be undertaken.

TABLE 35: Work Plan West Kordofan, by locality and year

Locality	SHA	CHA	Total No of SHA / CHA	Size of SHA	Size of CHA	Total Size	Organisation deployed	Assets Deployed	Total Area to be addressed	Year
Abyei	3	0	3	21,991	0	21,991	To be determined	To be determined	21,991	To be determined
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21,991</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21,991</b>			<b>21,991</b>	

### Mine Risk Education Plan:

During open conflict as the case now in Blue Nile and South Kordofan states and Darfur, landmines and other explosive remnants of war constitute major threat to the communities in vulnerability and substantial impediment of their capacity. It may significantly constrain the flow of humanitarian assistances by restricting freedom of movement of humanitarian personnel and their activities. MRE can be conducted to raise awareness of the endangered population by promoting safe behaviour among the affected communities particularly those refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs) who are on move. By 2023, the number of new landmine and ERW victims in Sudan will substantially reduced through provision of MRE services. Special focus will be paid to Blue Nile and south Kordofan states and Darfur. The plan can be achieved through implementation of the following specific activities;

- MRE services are provided to all landmine and ERW affected communities and populations at risk or potential risk based on an age and gender sensitive way to promote the reduction of number of accidents caused by mine/ERW explosions.
- MRE activities are mainstreamed in school curriculum for the benefit of all school children and their families.
- Conduct ToT for teachers and community members of mine risk areas located in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States on MRE for further dissemination of knowledge among population residing close to contaminated areas.
- Continue improve MRE information dissemination through materials development and printing of posters, leaflets ... et cetera.
- The capacity of national organizations and partners to deliver MRE to the affected communities will be strengthened through the provision of resources, training and capacity development.
- The community liaison component of the MRE programme will be reinforced to strengthen the integration of the various mine action components.
- Promote Mine Risk Education within population residing in or close to mine/UXO contaminated areas and supporting Victim Assistance activities which is one of the areas of intervention NMAC needs to further strengthen which a sort of an integrated and systematic approach to realize.

### Victims Assistance Plan:

A total of 1, 866 mine/ERW victims have been registered by the Information Management System for Mine Action (IMSMA) for the Republic of Sudan since the running of the system in 2002 coincidentally with the inception of the UN mine action programme in the country. It is importantly to observe that while the accidents occurrence rate overall has substantially decreased since 2005, the years 2011 and 2012 have recorded the highest number of accidents ever registered. This increase in accidents rate was chiefly attributed to the renewal of conflict in Blue Nile and South Kordofan States. During war time, the increased movement of population coerced by war being displaced from their homes of origin seeking safe refuges in other places was exacerbated by accessing unknown areas.



The main feature of Sudan's Victims Assistance Plan outlined in the following:

Goal: The Republic of Sudan ensures that all landmine and ERW victims have equal and full access to the following:

- Adequate, affordable, gender and age-sensitive emergency and continued medical care.
- Physical rehabilitation.
- Psychosocial support.
- Social and economic inclusion services providing 100 micro-scale income generating projects and legal assistance.

**Specific Victim Assistance objectives include:-**

Improve knowledge and experience of landmine survivors' rehabilitation workshops. The Ministry of Social Welfare, in collaboration with victim assistance and disability partners, will establish an information system for persons with disabilities to provide reliable, systematic and comprehensive information on persons with disabilities, including landmine and ERW victims. Available information is consistent with IMSMA, and is disseminated and shared with relevant national authorities during regular coordination meetings with VA and rehabilitation partners;

- Sudan accedes to the CRPD by the end of 2019 and adopts the necessary national legislations to protect the rights of landmine/ERW survivors and persons with disabilities;
- the SMAA , the UNMACC and relevant mine action and disability partners effectively cooperate with Sudan and donors, to ensure equal access to rehabilitation, psycho-social (including peer support) and socio-economic inclusion services for all landmine and ERW victims, as well as women, girls, boys and men with disabilities.

**National Capacity Building Plan**

Building reliable and sustainable local capacity remains the obsession of National Mine Action Centre (NMAC). Though it is extremely difficult task especially within fund limitation, it is uncompromised objective. Capacity building is an evidence-driven process of strengthening the abilities of national individuals and systems to perform core functions sustainably, and to continue to improve and develop over time. With this concept in mind, NMAC pursues to enhance the ability of its individuals to perform functions effectively, efficiently and sustainably by every means in its disposal. The plan's ultimate goal is to build on the already existing capacities of NMAC through strengthening knowledge, skills and efficiency of NMAC key staff in order to meet the requirements of international standards. In order to achieve these targets, NMAC undertakes the following steps:

Keep supporting day-to-day administrative, logistical and operation works for timely response and reporting related to mine action overall activities Sudan-wide.

Capacity building for NMAC staff to improve existing skills and knowledge of relevant specialists to meet international standards and requirements in coordination and land release operations through participation of the national NMAC staff in international events, workshops and trainings devoted to coordination and land Release operations.

Appeal to the international expertise by hiring specialist in advisory services of a Capacity Development Advisor to lay down an institutional, organizational and robust capacity development program including coaching and mentoring of NMAC individuals' progress.

Enhance the efficiency of information management, Land Release/Operational activities.

**Work Plan Conclusions and Recommendations:**

Sudan National Mine Action Strategic Work Alan (SNMASWP) for the new extension (2019 – 2023) was draw to the following broad conclusions:

- Mobilize and mass mine action efforts to curb mortality rate and causality liability stemmed from landmines and ERW among the affected communities Sudan-wide through the means clearance, risk awareness and propagation of the victims’ rights stipulated in the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention and other conventions on the rights of persons with disabilities in its a broader sense.
- To ensure the flow and sustainability of the parallel fund represented in the national contribution share besides the external funds and the continuity of government support to the programme is to be emphasized and recommended in order to fill gaps that may leave by the limitation of the external fund.
- Continue coordinating with Sudan’s delegations in Geneva and New York to resurrect coordination with potential donors as well as to project and mirror the efforts of Sudan government in humanitarian mine action to the international community.
- Special attention to be paid to the activation and condensation of the training and rehabilitation programs which aim to upgrade the capacity of the national staff in humanitarian mine action. Work out long-term and short-term plans on the national level to combat mines and ERW. Work on strengthening and promoting of its existing capacities of NMAC key staff, improving access to knowledge and skills through training opportunities. Train specialists of NMAC via international and local training events ensure improved and up-to-date work progress.
- Develop, rehabilitate and equip local mine action Training Centres e.g. *Al-Alafoon* Demining Training Centre and MDD Training Centre in accordance to the international standards in order to continue qualifying and equip Sudanese national staff in humanitarian mine action.
- Sensitize the role of mass-media to undertake its duties to towards enlightenment in mine action.
- Maintain and tight coordination channels with all stakeholders.

#### **1.44 Resource Mobilization Strategy**

During the extension period, clearing all mines and ERW will require other Member States in the Convention to assist Sudan technically and financially. Currently Sudan is reviewing the Mine Action Strategy with coordination with GICHD. Mine Action Strategy includes Resource Mobilization Strategy; Sudan will update State Party Members of the review process.

Sudan Resource mobilization strategy is geared to meet the overall objectives of Sudan Mine Action’s national and international obligations in terms of Humanitarian Mine Action to:

1. Increase donations from existing donors
2. Increase number, sources and modalities of donations
3. Increase the amount of the assessed budget (Government Contribution)

To collect the resources necessary for achieving Sudan’s programmatic and operational goals in the field of Humanitarian Mine Action; the following activities are planned for implementation:

**Respond to donor needs:** To sustain budgetary and extra-budgetary contributions from existing donors Sudan Mine Action Programme will continue to respond to the needs and priorities of its current donor base through regular liaison, timely reporting and visibility initiatives.

**Identify and make new partners:** To expand its donor base, sources and modalities of extra-budgetary contributions, the Sudan Mine Action Programme will identify potential new and consolidate relationships with existing donors, including the Gulf States, emerging economies receptive to becoming ‘donor governments’ and identify new “non-conventional” partners, such as philanthropists and private individuals, foundations and commercial entities and corresponding aid modalities or mechanisms.

**Balance interests and workload:** While Sudan Mine Action Programme in cooperation with UNMAS has had considerable success in engaging new donor concerted efforts need to be placed on learning about cooperation mechanisms with the private sector and philanthropies. Sudan Mine Action needs to be

mindful of private sector entities' policies and practices that may stand in direct contrast to the vision of the Sudan Mine Action. From a leadership standpoint, the positioning of the Sudan Mine Action to attract and utilize multi-year venture capital could prove significant returns and sustainable funding. This does, however, require the matching of donor priorities with realistic projects.

Encourage national support: The Sudan Mine Action Programme will continue to encourage support for the mine action program in Sudan through in-kind and financial contributions and advocate for the various benefits of doing so, such as: ensuring national ownership, empowerment, sustainability and sending the political messages to the international community of prioritizing mine action on the national agenda, which may in turn evoke additional international support. To encourage further support, the SUDAN MINE ACTION PROGRAMME will need to explore ways of recording such contributions and publishing them on their website.

### 1.45 Public Relations and Communication

To enable the objectives of this Sudan Mine Action Strategy, it is important that resource mobilization activities are closely inter-linked with PR initiatives in line with an existing 'Mine Action Communications Strategy'.

Sudan Mine Action Plans to Communicate and publicize funding requirements through:

- Organizing media events and interviews in conjunction with resource mobilization initiatives and visits.
- Producing a high quality and timely Sudan Mine Action Annual Report and distributing it widely to all stakeholders and ensure the timely delivery of donor specific reports.
- Updating funding and operational information on Sudan Mine Action Programme's website.

### 1.46 Sudan Mine Action multi-year budget forecast

In the early years since the first extension request was submitted in 2013, clearance efforts by NMAC did not do much due to the limited funding and support with regard to equipment and training. Resource mobilisation will be an ongoing effort. Funding support from the international community is expected to increase due to the lifting of the sanctions. In addition to the government funding will continue as well, because the plans for clearance of the mined areas in Sudan are depending on the continuation of funding from the Government as well as from the international community.

Presently, there is no international entity work in Sudan if Darfur region exempted. It is hoped that with bilateral funding other international NGOs and commercial companies will be encouraged to come to Sudan to have positive contribution to the overall efforts aim to clear the lands from mines/ERW. The budget forecast is from 2018 to 2023, it provides a summary of the expected funding for this period. To meet the target of 2023, Sudan needs fund as highlighted on table the below:

TABLE 36: SUDAN FUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLETION BY YEAR

Year	Fund Required	Fund by Donors	Fund by Government	Fund Received	Funding Gap
2017	13,620,924	2,059,530	2,000,000	4,059,530	11,561,394
2018	13,110,647	1,367,470	2,000,000	3,367,470	9,743,177
2019	17,984,432	0	2,000,000	0	15,984,432
2020	14,627,664	0	2,000,000	0	12,627,664

2021	4,931,661	0	2,000,000	0	2,931,661
2022	4,931,661	0	2,000,000	0	2,931,661
2023	4,252,541	0	2,000,000	0	2,252,541
<b>Total</b>	<b>75,495,530</b>	<b>3,427,000</b>	<b>14,000,000</b>	<b>7,427,000</b>	<b>58,032,530</b>

## 1.47 Assumptions / Risks

### Assumptions

Sudan's plan for the clearance of the contaminated areas is based on the assumption that the security situations in the all troubled regions contaminated with mines and ERW will improve. Presently, all the eastern states are accessible from the security point of view and it hoped that Blue Nile and South Kordofan states will become secure as well for the demining teams to reach the hazardous areas. In this regard Sudan will provide annual updates to States Parties on any changes in accessibility to the remaining hazardous areas. Once survey has been completed Sudan will inform States Parties on the impact of newly identified hazardous areas as well as the results of re-survey on the milestones and resources as given in the work plan. Based on these impacts, Sudan will provide an updated work plan for the remaining period of the extension and may request additional time and resources, as required

Funding again is another major concern and all plans are based on the assumption of adequate funding to the programme.

Presently, there is no international entity work in Sudan, if Darfur region exempted. It is hoped that with increased accessibility to its remaining contaminated areas the results of new survey as well as re-survey of existing areas Sudan will possess a clear and accurate measure of its capacities and needs. In this way, international NGOs and commercial companies are encouraged to engage wit Sudan to begin how they can have a positive contribution to the overall efforts aim to clear the lands from mines/ERW.

Though the predominant optimistic atmosphere mainly emanated from the lift of sanction and Sudan welcoming of the entry of international organizations, if the similar conditions delineated in the precedent paragraphs persist during the remaining period of the current extension and the new extension period, sadly that we should prepare for the similar result.

### The prospect of mine action in Sudan in forthcoming few years

There is a very real humanitarian urgency for mine action activities to take place in South Kordofan and Blue Nile States, which is currently not being met. Not only because of the significant difficulties represent in accessing mine affected areas due to the ongoing armed conflict in many of the mine affected areas, but also due to the fact that new mines are still being laid by the SPLA. The UN and INGO entities based in Kadugli and El-Damazin e.g. OCHA has limited, direct access to the mine-affected areas due to the security uncertainties. In addition, organizations working cross-border from neighbouring countries have experienced a decrease in reachable areas over the last few years. While this gloomy picture may suggest that the prospects of mine action will be at a very low point especially after the imminent declaration of Kassala state as devoid of landmines and explosive remnant of war by the advent of March 2018. However, there is a general prevailing optimism that sanction will be lifted, a matter that would positively reflect on the ongoing national political dialogue as well regarding two areas, (Blue Nile and South Kordofan). These developments actually furnished conducive atmosphere for the country to identify several possible openings in the two states; Blue Nile and South Kordofan, which may substantially increase the possibility of mine action activities to take place in the forthcoming few years.

**Risk Factors:**

During the current extension period there was a realm of possibilities that have resistively affected the completion of planned demining activities and the likened will be expected to have the same influences on the operations progress in the forthcoming extension period. The risks that are likely to be encountered are as follow:

- f. **The Overall Political and Economic Situation:** The plan assumes that the political and economic situations remain in favour of the clearance operations.
- g. **Security Situation in the Operational Areas:** Ongoing conflict in some parts of South Kordofan and Blue Nile may affect the operations plan.
- h. **Funding:** The plan for clearance of the mined areas in Sudan largely depends on the continuation of funding from the international community as well as the Government of Sudan.
- i. **Weather:** Generally, Sudan experienced heavy rains from June to October. During this time of the year operations activities may shut-down or conducted in limited areas which may result in failure to meet the stated deadlines of the extension period. There is great possibility that the floods resulted from the heavy rains move or deeply bury mines and ERW resulting in miss mines or ERW which may also delay the process.
- j. **Terrains:** Minefields in the southern part of Sudan are located in thick vegetation and mountainous areas. The vegetation drills and demining of hard surface of an even ground surface (sharp slops) both are time consuming.

## **Annexes:**

### **ANNEX 1: ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>AP</b>	Anti-Personnel
<b>AT</b>	Anti-tank
<b>CPA</b>	Comprehensive Peace Agreement
<b>CHA</b>	Confirmed Hazardous Area
<b>DA</b>	Dangerous Area
<b>DCA</b>	Danish Church Aid
<b>DHA</b>	Defined Hazardous Area
<b>DPKO</b>	UN Department of Peacekeeping Operations
<b>EOD</b>	Explosive Ordnance Disposal
<b>ERW</b>	Explosive Remnant of War
<b>FPDO</b>	Friends of Peace and Development Organization
<b>FSD</b>	Swiss Demining Federation
<b>GMAA</b>	General Mine Action Assessment
<b>HTA</b>	High Threat Area
<b>IDP</b>	Internally Displaced Persons
<b>JASMAR</b>	Sudanese NGO
<b>IMSMA</b>	Information Management System for Mine Action
<b>GoS</b>	Government of Sudan
<b>GONU</b>	Government of National Unity
<b>GMAA</b>	General Mine Action Assessment
<b>LTA</b>	Low Threat Area
<b>LRP</b>	Land Release process
<b>LIS</b>	Landmine Impact Survey
<b>MAG</b>	Mine Advisory Group
<b>MECHEM</b>	Commercial Deming Company
<b>MDD</b>	Mine Detection Dog
<b>MCT</b>	Manual Clearance Teams
<b>MYWP</b>	National Mine Action Multiyear Work Plan
<b>MF</b>	Mine Fields
<b>NTSG</b>	National Mine Action Standards and Guidelines
<b>NMAS</b>	National Mine Action Standards
<b>NMAC</b>	National Mine Action Centre
<b>NDU</b>	National Demining Units
<b>NMAA</b>	National Mine Action Authority
<b>MOU</b>	Memorandum of Understanding
<b>NGO</b>	Non-Governmental Organization
<b>MRE</b>	Mine Risk Education
<b>QA</b>	Quality Assurance
<b>QC</b>	Quality Control
<b>SAC</b>	Survey Action Centre
<b>SAF</b>	Sudanese Armed Forces
<b>SHA</b>	Suspected Hazardous Areas
<b>SHF</b>	Sudan Humanitarian Fund
<b>SDG</b>	Sudanese money
<b>SOP</b>	Standard Operating Procedures
<b>SLIRI</b>	Sudan Landmine Information and Response Initiative
<b>SPLM/A</b>	Sudan People's Liberation Army
<b>UNMAS</b>	United Nations Mine Action Service
<b>UNMIS</b>	United Nations Missions in Sudan
<b>UNMAO</b>	United Nations Mine Action Office
<b>USD</b>	United States Dollars
<b>UXO</b>	Unexploded Ordnance

## Annex 2: Glossary of terms

### **Applicable Mine Action Acronyms and Terminologies:**

Since its establishment in 2002, Sudan mine action program adapted some mine action terms such as Suspected Hazardous Area (SHA), Dangerous Area (DA), Confirmed Hazardous Area (CHA), and Minefield (MF), for defining and confirming the type of hazards in specific area. Meanwhile the terms DA & SHA reflect the **suspected** hazardous area, contrariwise MF reflect the **confirmed** hazardous area.

### **Suspected Hazardous Area (SHA):**

Refers to an area suspected to have mines/ERW hazards. SHA can be identified by an impact survey, other form of national survey or claim of presence of explosive hazards. The best example for SHA is the Dangerous Area (DA) which refers to an area suspects to contain mines/ERW that is reported by local population or MRE teams or military personnel. DA can be mined area or battle area or UXO spot.

### **Confirmed Hazardous Area (CHA):**

Refers to an area where the presence of mine/ERW contamination has been confirmed on the basis of direct evidence of the presence of mines/ERW. The best example for CHA is the Minefield (MF) which refers to an area contaminated with either antipersonnel or antitank mines with a clearly defined parameter/polygon. The polygon of a minefield is developed as a result of a technical survey process.

It worth mentioning that the above-mentioned terminologies were compatible with IMSMA Legacy and thus used in planning process for operations. However, these terminologies are under review and will be modified with the migration of mine action database from IMSMA Legacy to IMSMA NG that supposed to take place by the end of 2018, for now we are using IMSMA Legacy parallel with IMSMA NG as process to migrate to IMSMA NG in coordination with GICHD. On the contrary, the updated terminologies have already been adopted and accommodated in the National Technical Guidelines (NTSGs).

ANNEX 3: SUDAN\_OPS\_MYWP\_2018-2023\_BNS

ANNEX 4: SUDAN\_OPS\_MYWP\_2018-2023\_WKS

ANNEX 5: SUDAN\_OPS\_MYWP\_2018-2023\_SKS

ANNEX 6: SUDAN\_OPS\_MYWP\_2018-2023\_DS

ANNEX 7: SUDAN\_LIST\_OF\_REMAINING\_AP\_MINED\_AREAS

ANNEX 8: SUDAN\_LIST\_OF\_REMAINING\_AP\_MINED\_AREAS

ANNEX 9: SUDAN\_LIST\_OF\_REMAINING\_ERW\_CONTAMINATED\_AREAS

ANNEX 10: SUDAN\_GENERAL\_THREAT\_MAP